Virtualizing Memory CS 537: Introduction to Operating Systems

Louis Oliphant

University of Wisconsin - Madison

Fall 2023

[Virtualizing Memory](#page-26-0)

Louis Oliphant University of Wisconsin - Madison

Administrivia

- Project 1 Due Sep 19th, 11:59pm (TONIGHT!)
- Project 2 Released Today, Due Sep 26th @ 11:59pm

Agenda

- Goals of Memory Virtualization
- **Understand Address Space**
- Memory API (malloc() and free())
- Address Translation (Base & Bounds)
- CPU Virtualization (2 lectures: mechanism + policy)
- Memory Virtualization (6 lectures)

Review: CPU Scheduling

• Design scheduling policy:

- Understanding Workload (**interactive** vs. **batch** programs)
- Using metrics to optimize type of performance (**turnaround time**, **response time**)
- Incorporating non-preemptive or **preemptive** concepts
- Scheduler Types & Issues:
	- FIFO/FCFS, SJF, STCF, RR
	- **MLFQ**
		- Using past behavior to predict future behavior
		- Handling mix of IO vs CPU bound jobs
		- Handling tricky processes
		- Tuning length of **time slice**, number of queues, **boosting** length
- Other Goals/Metrics (**fairness**) and Policies (**Lottery**)

MLFQ Review

Quiz 3 MLFQ:<https://tinyurl.com/cs537-fa23-q3>

RULES:

Rule 1: If Priority $(A) >$ Priority (B) then A runs Rule 2: If Priority(A) $==$ Priority(B) then A&B run in RR

Rule 3: Processes start at top priority Rule 4: Once a job uses up its time allotment at a given level (regardless of how many times it has given up the CPU), its priority is reduced Rule 5: After some time period S, move all the jobs in the system to the topmost queue.

Memory Early Days Multiprogramming Goals

Uniprogramming: One process runs at a time

^{OKB} **Operating System** (code, data, etc.) 64KB **Current Program** (code, data, etc.) max

- unaware of sharing
- **Protection: Cannot corrupt OS** or other processes' memory
- **Efficiency:** Do not waste memory or slow down processes
- **Sharing: Enable sharing between** cooperating processes

Alternative 1: Time Sharing

- Storing process and loading another is extremely slow!
- **•** Better Alternative: Space Sharing!

Alternative 2: Space Sharing

Protection becomes extremely important, don't want a process to be able to read or write some other process's memory.

Abstraction: Address Space

View of memory from program's perspective.

- **•** Heap can become fragmented
- **Stack does not**

Demo

vm-intro/va.c

What Variables Go Where (Stack, Heap, Code/Static)?

int J:

```
int* foo(int Y, int *Z) {
        int *A = malloc(sizeof(int));
        *A = 2:Y = 3:*Z = 4;
         return A:
ł
void main() fJ = 10;
        int A = 0:
        int *B;
        B = \text{malloc}(\text{sizeof}(\text{int})).*B = 5;
         int *C = foo(A,B);print(f("A:\%d, B:\%d," A, *B);printf("C:\%d, J:\%d\n".*C,J);free(B);
         free(C);ł
```
Memory Access

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int main() {
  int x;
 x = x + 3;
}
```
0x10: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi 0x13: addl \$0x3, %edi 0x19: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

%rbp is the base pointer: points to base of current stack frame

Memory Access (cont.)

Initial $\%$ rip = 0x10 $\%$ rbp $= 0 \times 200$

0x10: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi 0x13: addl \$0x3, %edi 0x19: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

%rbp is the base pointer: points to base of current stack frame **%rip** is instruction pointer (program counter)

Fetch instruction at addr 0x10 Exec: **load from addr 0x208**

Fetch instruction at addr 0x13 Exec: **no memory access**

Fetch instruction at addr 0x19 Exec: **store to addr 0x208**

Space Sharing Attempt 1 (Static Relocation)

Idea: OS rewrites each program as it is loaded and placed in memory Change jumps, loads of static data, etc.

Static Relocation Memory Layout

0x1010: movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi 0x1013: addl \$0x3, %edi 0x1019: movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

0x3010:movl 0x8(%rbp), %edi 0x3013:addl \$0x3, %edi 0x3019:movl %edi, 0x8(%rbp)

Static Relocation Disadvantages

- No Protection
	- Process can destroy OS or other processes
	- No privacy
- Cannot move address space after it has been placed
	- May not be able to allocate new process

Space Sharing Attempt 2 (Dynamic Relocation)

- Requires hardware support (Memory Management Unit (MMU))
- MMU dynamically changes process address at every memory reference
	- Process generates **logical** or **virtual** addresses (in their address space)
	- Memory hardware uses **physical** or **real** addresses

Dynamic Relocation Hardware Support

- Kernel Mode: OS runs
	- Allows instructions for manipulating MMU
	- OS access to all of physical memory
- User mode: process runs
	- Perform translation of logical address to physical address

Dynamic Relocation with **Base+Bounds**

Translation on every memory access of user process

- MMU compares logical address to **bounds register** if logical address is greater, then generate error
- MMU adds **base register** to logical address to form physical address

Base+Bounds Example

base register bounds register Every process has its own set of base and bounds register values

OS sets registers when loading process

Process can be moved, just need to update its base register

Process is restricted to its address space

Hardware Requirements

Figure 15.3: Dynamic Relocation: Hardware Requirements

OS Requirements

Louis Oliphant

Limited Direct Execution (Dynamic Relocation) @ Boot

Figure 15.5: Limited Direct Execution (Dynamic Relocation) @ Boot

Good Running Process

Louis Oliphant

Context Switch

$(A runs...)$

Timer interrupt move to kernel mode jump to handler

Handle timer

decide: stop A, run B call switch () routine save regs (A) to proc-struct (A) (including base/bounds) restore $regs(B)$ from $proc-struct(B)$ (including base/bounds) return-from-trap (into B)

> restore registers of B move to user mode jump to B's PC

> > **Process B runs**

Louis Oliphant University of Wisconsin - Madison

Bad Process

Process B runs Execute bad load

Load is out-of-bounds: move to kernel mode jump to trap handler

Handle the trap

decide to kill process B deallocate B's memory free B's entry in process table

Figure 15.6: Limited Direct Execution (Dynamic Relocation) @ Runtime

Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages

- Provides protection across address spaces
- Supports Dynamic relocation Can place process at different locations initially and move address spaces later
- Simple, inexpensive implementation: few registers, little logic in MMU
- Fast: add and compare in parallel

Disadvantages

- Each process must be allocated contiguously in physical memory – must allocate memory that may not be used by process
- No partial sharing: Cannot share parts of address space

Disadvantages

- Each process must be allocated contiguously
- Must allocate memory that may not be used by process
- No partial sharing: Cannot share parts of address space

