ANNOUNCEMENTS

2nd Exam: Grades available in Learn@UW

Total: 227; high 224, low 93, mean 174

Quintiles:

Top 20% (A?) - above 197 points (above 87%)
Next (AB?) - above 184 (above 81%)
Next (B?) - above 171 (above 75%)
Next (BC?) - above 154 (above 68%)
Low 20% - below 153 (below 68%)

P2b graded

P4: Threads (Part a and b) available

- Can choose or be matched with new partner
- Due Wednesday 11/18 at 9pm

Concern about grades?

Read as we go along!

• Chapter 39

UNIVERSITY of WISCONSIN-MADISON Computer Sciences Department

CS 537 Introduction to Operating Systems Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau

PERSISTENCE: FILE SYSTEM API

Questions answered in this lecture:

How to name files?

What are inode numbers?

How to lookup a file based on pathname?

What is a file descriptor?

What is the difference between hard and soft links?

How can special requirements be communicated to file system (fsync)?

WHAT IS A FILE?

Array of persistent bytes that can be read/written

File system consists of many files
Refers to collection of files
Also refers to part of OS that manages those files

Files need names to access correct one

FILE NAMES

Three types of names

- Unique id: inode numbers
- Path
- File descriptor

INODE NUMBER

Each file has exactly one inode number

Inodes are unique (at a given time) within file system


Different file system may use the same number, numbers may be recycled after deletes

See inodes via "ls –i"; see them increment...

WHAT DOES "I" STAND FOR?

"In truth, I don't know either. It was just a term that we started to use. 'Index' is my best guess, because of the slightly unusual file system structure that stored the access information of files as a flat array on the disk..."

~ Dennis Ritchie



FILE API (ATTEMPT 1)

read(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
write(int inode, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
seek(int inode, off_t offset)
 seek does not cause disk seek until read/write

Disadvantages?

- names hard to remember
- no organization or meaning to inode numbers
- semantics of offset across multiple processes?


PATHS


String names are friendlier than number names


File system still interacts with inode numbers

Store *path-to-inode* mappings in predetermined "root" file (typically inode 2)

Directory!







PATHS


Generalize!


Directory Tree instead of single root directory


Only file name needs to be unique


/usr/dusseau/file.txt /tmp/file.txt


Store file-to-inode mapping for each directory




















DIRECTORY CALLS

mkdir: create new directory

readdir: read/parse directory entries

Why no writedir?

SPECIAL DIRECTORY ENTRIES

```
$ ls -la

total 728

drwxr-xr-x 34 trh staff 1156 Oct 19 11:41 .

drwxr-xr-x+ 59 trh staff 2006 Oct 8 15:49 ..

-rw-r--re-@ 1 trh staff 6148 Oct 19 11:42 .DS_Store

-rw-r--r- 1 trh staff 553 Oct 2 14:29 asdf.txt

-rw-r--r- 1 trh staff 553 Oct 2 14:05 asdf.txt

drwxr-xr-x 4 trh staff 136 Jun 18 15:37 backup

...

cd /; ls -lia
```

FILE API (ATTEMPT 2)

```
pread(char *path, void *buf,
    off_t offset, size_t nbyte)

pwrite(char *path, void *buf,
    off_t offset, size_t nbyte)

Disadvantages?
    Expensive traversal!
    Goal: traverse once
```

FILE NAMES

Three types of names:

- inode
- path
- file descriptor

FILE DESCRIPTOR (FD)

Idea:

Do expensive traversal once (open file) store inode in descriptor object (kept in memory).

Do reads/writes via descriptor, which tracks offset

Each process:

File-descriptor table contains pointers to open file descriptors

Integers used for file I/O are indexes into this table stdin: 0, stdout: 1, stderr: 2


FD TABLE (XV6)


```
struct file {
    ...
    struct inode *ip;
    uint off;
};


// Per-process state
struct proc {
    ...
    struct file *ofile[NOFILE]; // Open files
    ...
}
```


CODE SNIPPET

```
int fd1 = open("file.txt"); // returns 3
read(fd1, buf, 12);
int fd2 = open("file.txt"); // returns 4
int fd3 = dup(fd2); // returns 5
```









FILE API (ATTEMPT 3)

int fd = open(char *path, int flag, mode_t mode)
read(int fd, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
write(int fd, void *buf, size_t nbyte)
close(int fd)

advantages:

- string names
- hierarchical
- traverse once
- different offsets precisely defined

DELETING FILES

There is no system call for deleting files!

Inode (and associated file) is **garbage collected** when there are no references (from paths or fds)

Paths are deleted when: unlink() is called

FDs are deleted when: close() or process quits

NETWORK FILE SYSTEM DESIGNERS

A process can open a file, then remove the directory entry for the file so that it has no name anywhere in the file system, and still read and write the file. This is a disgusting bit of UNIX trivia and at first we were just not going to support it, but it turns out that all of the programs we didn't want to have to fix (csh, sendmail, etc.) use this for temporary files.

~ Sandberg et al.

LINKS: DEMONSTRATE

Show hard links: Both path names use same inode number File does not disappear until all removed; cannot link directories

Echo "Beginning..." > file1
"ln file1 link"
"cat link"

"ls -li" to see reference count Echo "More info..." >> file1

"mv file1 file2"

"rm file2" decreases reference count

Soft or symbolic links: Point to second path name; can softlink to dirs

"ln -s oldfile softlink"

Confusing behavior: "file does not exist"!

Confusing behavior: "cd linked_dir; cd ..; in different parent!

MANY FILE SYSTEMS

Users often want to use many file systems

For example:

- main disk
- backup disk
- AFS
- thumb drives

What is the most elegant way to support this?

MANY FILE SYSTEMS: APPROACH 1



MANY FILE SYSTEMS: APPROACH 2


Idea: stitch all the file systems together into a super file system!

sh> mount

/dev/sda1 on / type ext4 (rw)

/dev/sdb1 on /backups type ext4 (rw)

AFS on /home type afs (rw)



COMMUNICATING REQUIREMENTS: FSYNC

File system keeps newly written data in memory for awhile

Write buffering improves performance (why?)

But what if system **crashes** before buffers are flushed?

If application cares:

fsync(int fd) forces buffers to flush to disk, and (usually) tells disk to flush its write cache too

Makes data durable


RENAME


rename(char *old, char *new):


- deletes an old link to a file
- creates a new link to a file

Just changes name of file, does not move data Even when renaming to new directory (unless...?)

What can go wrong if system crashes at wrong time?







RENAME

rename(char *old, char *new):

- deletes an old link to a file
- creates a new link to a file

What if we crash?

FS does extra work to guarantee atomicity; return to this issue later...

ATOMIC FILE UPDATE

Say application wants to update file.txt atomically If crash, should see only old contents or only new contents

- 1. write new data to file.txt.tmp file
- 2.fsync file.txt.tmp
- 3. rename file.txt.tmp over file.txt, replacing it

SUMMARY

Using multiple types of name provides

- convenience
- efficiency

Mount and link features provide flexibility.

Special calls (fsync, rename) let developers communicate special requirements to file system