## Lecture 4: Conditional expectation and independence

In elementry probability, conditional probability $P(B \mid A)$ is defined as $P(B \mid A)=P(A \cap B) / P(A)$ for events $A$ and $B$ with $P(A)>0$.
For two random variables, $X$ and $Y$, how do we define
$P(X \in B \mid Y=y)$ ?

## Definition 1.6

Let $X$ be an integrable random variable on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$.
(i) The conditional expectation of $X$ given $\mathscr{A}$ (a sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathscr{F}$ ), denoted by $E(X \mid \mathscr{A})$, is the a.s.-unique random variable satisfying the following two conditions:
(a) $E(X \mid \mathscr{A})$ is measurable from $(\Omega, \mathscr{A})$ to $(\mathscr{R}, \mathscr{B})$;
(b) $\int_{A} E(X \mid \mathscr{A}) d P=\int_{A} X d P$ for any $A \in \mathscr{A}$.
(ii) The conditional probability of $B \in \mathscr{F}$ given $\mathscr{A}$ is defined to be $P(B \mid \mathscr{A})=E\left(I_{B} \mid \mathscr{A}\right)$.
(iii) Let $Y$ be measurable from $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ to $(\Lambda, \mathscr{G})$.

The conditional expectation of $X$ given $Y$ is defined to be $E(X \mid Y)=E[X \mid \sigma(Y)]$.

## Remarks

- The existence of $E(X \mid \mathscr{A})$ follows from Theorem 1.4.
- $\sigma(Y)$ contains "the information in $Y$ "
- $E(X \mid Y)$ is the "expectation" of $X$ given the information in $Y$
- For a random vector $X, E(X \mid \mathscr{A})$ is defined as the vector of conditional expectations of components of $X$.


## Lemma 1.2

Let $Y$ be measurable from $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$ to ( $(, \mathscr{G})$ and $Z$ a function from $(\Omega, \mathscr{F})$ to $\mathscr{R}^{k}$.
Then $Z$ is measurable from $(\Omega, \sigma(Y))$ to $\left(\mathscr{R}^{k}, \mathscr{B}^{k}\right)$ iff there is a measurable function $h$ from $(\Lambda, \mathscr{G})$ to $\left(\mathscr{R}^{k}, \mathscr{B}^{k}\right)$ such that $Z=h \circ Y$.

By Lemma 1.2, there is a Borel function $h$ on $(\Lambda, \mathscr{G})$ such that $E(X \mid Y)=h \circ Y$.
For $y \in \Lambda$, we define $E(X \mid Y=y)=h(y)$ to be the conditional expectation of $X$ given $Y=y$. $h(y)$ is a function on $\Lambda$, whereas $h \circ Y=E(X \mid Y)$ is a function on $\Omega$.

## Example 1.21

Let $X$ be an integrable random variable on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P), A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ be disjoint events on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ such that $\cup A_{i}=\Omega$ and $P\left(A_{i}\right)>0$ for all $i$, and let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ be distinct real numbers.
Define $Y=a_{1} I_{A_{1}}+a_{2} I_{A_{2}}+\cdots$. We now show that

$$
E(X \mid Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{A_{i}} X d P}{P\left(A_{i}\right)} I_{A_{i}} .
$$

We need to verify (a) and (b) in Definition 1.6 with $\mathscr{A}=\sigma(Y)$. Since $\sigma(Y)=\sigma\left(\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots\right\}\right)$, it is clear that the function on the right-hand side is measurable on $(\Omega, \sigma(Y))$.
This verifies (a).
To verify (b), we need to show

$$
\int_{Y^{-1}(B)} X d P=\int_{Y-1(B)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{A_{i}} X d P}{P\left(A_{i}\right)} I_{A_{i}}\right] d P .
$$

for any $B \in \mathscr{B}$,

## Example 1.21 (continued)

Using the fact that $Y^{-1}(B)=\cup_{i: a_{i} \in B} A_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Y^{-1}(B)} X d P & =\sum_{i: a_{i} \in B} \int_{A_{i}} X d P \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{A_{i}} X d P}{P\left(A_{i}\right)} P\left(A_{i} \cap Y^{-1}(B)\right) \\
& =\int_{Y^{-1}(B)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{A_{i}} X d P}{P\left(A_{i}\right)} I_{A_{i}}\right] d P,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from Fubini's theorem.
This verifies (b) and thus the result.
Let $h$ be a Borel function on $\mathscr{R}$ satisfying

$$
h\left(a_{i}\right)=\int_{A_{i}} X d P / P\left(A_{i}\right)
$$

Then $E(X \mid Y)=h \circ Y$ and $E(X \mid Y=y)=h(y)$.

## Proposition 1.9

Let $X$ be a random $n$-vector and $Y$ a random $m$-vector.
Suppose that $(X, Y)$ has a joint p.d.f. $f(x, y)$ w.r.t. $v \times \lambda$, where $v$ and $\lambda$ are $\sigma$-finite measures on $\left(\mathscr{R}^{n}, \mathscr{B}^{n}\right)$ and $\left(\mathscr{R}^{m}, \mathscr{B}^{m}\right)$, respectively. Let $g(x, y)$ be a Borel function on $\mathscr{R}^{n+m}$ for which $E|g(X, Y)|<\infty$. Then

$$
E[g(X, Y) \mid Y]=\frac{\int g(x, Y) f(x, Y) d v(x)}{\int f(x, Y) d v(x)} \text { a.s. }
$$

## Proof

Denote the right-hand side by $h(Y)$.
By Fubini's theorem, $h$ is Borel.
Then, by Lemma 1.2, $h(Y)$ is Borel on $(\Omega, \sigma(Y))$.
Also, by Fubini's theorem,

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int f(x, y) d v(x)
$$

is the p.d.f. of $Y$ w.r.t. $\lambda$.

## Proof (continued)

For $B \in \mathscr{B}^{m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Y^{-1}(B)} h(Y) d P & =\int_{B} h(y) d P_{Y} \\
& =\int_{B} \frac{\int g(x, y) f(x, y) d v(x)}{\int f(x, y) d v(x)} f_{Y}(y) d \lambda(y) \\
& =\int_{\mathscr{R}^{n} \times B} g(x, y) f(x, y) d v \times \lambda \\
& =\int_{\mathscr{R}^{n} \times B} g(x, y) d P_{(X, Y)} \\
& =\int_{Y^{-1}(B)} g(X, Y) d P
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first and the last equalities follow from Theorem 1.2, the second and the next to last equalities follow from the definition of $h$ and p.d.f.'s, and the third equality follows from Fubini's theorem.

## Conditional p.d.f.

Let $(X, Y)$ be a random vector with a joint p.d.f. $f(x, y)$ w.r.t. $v \times \lambda$ The conditional p.d.f. of $X$ given $Y=y$ is defined to be

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=f(x, y) / f_{Y}(y)
$$

where

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\int f(x, y) d v(x)
$$

is the marginal p.d.f. of $Y$ w.r.t. $\lambda$. For each fixed $y$ with $f_{Y}(y)>0, f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)$ is a p.d.f. w.r.t. $v$. Then Proposition 1.9 states that

$$
E[g(X, Y) \mid Y]=\int g(x, Y) f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid Y) d v(x)
$$

i.e., the conditional expectation of $g(X, Y)$ given $Y$ is equal to the expectation of $g(X, Y)$ w.r.t. the conditional p.d.f. of $X$ given $Y$.

## Proposition 1.10

Let $X, Y, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be integrable random variables on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ and $\mathscr{A}$ be a sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathscr{F}$.
(i) If $X=c$ a.s., $c \in \mathscr{R}$, then $E(X \mid \mathscr{A})=c$ a.s.
(ii) If $X \leq Y$ a.s., then $E(X \mid \mathscr{A}) \leq E(Y \mid \mathscr{A})$ a.s.
(iii) If $a, b \in \mathscr{R}$, then $E(a X+b Y \mid \mathscr{A})=a E(X \mid \mathscr{A})+b E(Y \mid \mathscr{A})$ a.s.
(iv) $E[E(X \mid \mathscr{A})]=E X$.
(v) $E\left[E(X \mid \mathscr{A}) \mid \mathscr{A}_{0}\right]=E\left(X \mid \mathscr{A}_{0}\right)=E\left[E\left(X \mid \mathscr{A}_{0}\right) \mid \mathscr{A}\right]$ a.s., where $\mathscr{A}_{0}$ is a sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathscr{A}$.
(vi) If $\sigma(Y) \subset \mathscr{A}$ and $E|X Y|<\infty$, then $E(X Y \mid \mathscr{A})=Y E(X \mid \mathscr{A})$ a.s.
(vii) If $X$ and $Y$ are independent and $E|g(X, Y)|<\infty$ for a Borel function $g$, then $E[g(X, Y) \mid Y=y]=E[g(X, y)]$ a.s. $P_{Y}$.
(viii) If $E X^{2}<\infty$, then $[E(X \mid \mathscr{A})]^{2} \leq E\left(X^{2} \mid \mathscr{A}\right)$ a.s.
(ix) (Fatou's lemma). If $X_{n} \geq 0$ for any $n$, then
$E\left(\liminf _{n} X_{n} \mid \mathscr{A}\right) \leq \liminf _{n} E\left(X_{n} \mid \mathscr{A}\right)$ a.s.
(x) (Dominated convergence theorem). If $\left|X_{n}\right| \leq Y$ for any $n$ and $X_{n} \rightarrow_{\text {a.s. }} X$, then $E\left(X_{n} \mid \mathscr{A}\right) \rightarrow_{\text {a.s. }} E(X \mid \mathscr{A})$.

## Example 1.22

Let $X$ be a random variable on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ with $E X^{2}<\infty$ and let $Y$ be a measurable function from $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ to $(\Lambda, \mathscr{G})$.
One may wish to predict the value of $X$ based on an observed value of $Y$. Let $g(Y)$ be a predictor, i.e.,

$$
g \in \mathbb{N}=\left\{\text { all Borel functions } g \text { with } E[g(Y)]^{2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Each predictor is assessed by the "mean squared prediction error"

$$
E[X-g(Y)]^{2}
$$

We now show that $E(X \mid Y)$ is the best predictor of $X$ in the sense that

$$
E[X-E(X \mid Y)]^{2}=\min _{g \in \mathbb{N}} E[X-g(Y)]^{2}
$$

First, Proposition 1.10(viii) implies $E(X \mid Y) \in \aleph$.

## Example 1.22 (continued)

Next, for any $g \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[X-g(Y)]^{2}= & E[X-E(X \mid Y)+E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)]^{2} \\
= & E[X-E(X \mid Y)]^{2}+E[E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)]^{2} \\
& +2 E\{[X-E(X \mid Y)][E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)]\} \\
= & E[X-E(X \mid Y)]^{2}+E[E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)]^{2} \\
& +2 E\{E\{[X-E(X \mid Y)][E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)] \mid Y\}\} \\
= & E[X-E(X \mid Y)]^{2}+E[E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)]^{2} \\
& +2 E\{[E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)] E[X-E(X \mid Y) \mid Y]\} \\
= & E[X-E(X \mid Y)]^{2}+E[E(X \mid Y)-g(Y)]^{2} \\
\geq & E[X-E(X \mid Y)]^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the third equality follows from Proposition 1.10(iv), the fourth equality follows from Proposition 1.10(vi), and the last equality follows from Proposition 1.10(i), (iii), and (vi).

## Definition 1.7 (Independence).

Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ be a probability space.
(i) Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a collection of subsets in $\mathscr{F}$.

Events in $\mathscr{C}$ are said to be independent iff for any positive integer $n$ and distinct events $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ in $\mathscr{C}$,

$$
P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right) P\left(A_{2}\right) \cdots P\left(A_{n}\right) .
$$

(ii) Collections $\mathscr{C}_{i} \subset \mathscr{F}, i \in \mathscr{I}$ (an index set that can be uncountable), are said to be independent iff events in any collection of the form $\left\{A_{i} \in \mathscr{C}_{i}: i \in \mathscr{I}\right\}$ are independent.
(iii) Random elements $X_{i}, i \in \mathscr{I}$, are said to be independent iff $\sigma\left(X_{i}\right)$, $i \in \mathscr{I}$, are independent.
Lemma 1.3 (a useful result for checking the independence of $\sigma$-fields)
Let $\mathscr{C}_{i}, i \in \mathscr{I}$, be independent collections of events.
If each $\mathscr{C}_{i}$ is a $\pi$-system ( $A \in \mathscr{C}_{i}$ and $B \in \mathscr{C}_{i}$ implies $A \cap B \in \mathscr{C}_{i}$ ), then $\sigma\left(\mathscr{C}_{i}\right), i \in \mathscr{I}$, are independent.

- Random variables $X_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, are independent according to Definition 1.7 iff

$$
F_{\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=F_{X_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots F_{X_{k}}\left(x_{k}\right), \quad\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{R}^{k}
$$

Take $\mathscr{C}_{i}=\{(a, b]: a \in \mathscr{R}, b \in \mathscr{R}\}, i=1, \ldots, k$

- If $X$ and $Y$ are independent random vectors, then so are $g(X)$ and $h(Y)$ for Borel functions $g$ and $h$.
- Two events $A$ and $B$ are independent iff $P(B \mid A)=P(B)$, which means that $A$ provides no information about the probability of the occurrence of $B$.


## Proposition 1.11

Let $X$ be a random variable with $E|X|<\infty$ and let $Y_{i}$ be random $k_{i}$-vectors, $i=1,2$.
Suppose that $\left(X, Y_{1}\right)$ and $Y_{2}$ are independent. Then

$$
E\left[X \mid\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)\right]=E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof
First, $E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right)$ is Borel on $\left(\Omega, \sigma\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)\right)$, since $\sigma\left(Y_{1}\right) \subset \sigma\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$. Next, we need to show that for any Borel set $B \in \mathscr{B}^{k_{1}+k_{2}}$,

$$
\int_{\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{-1}(B)} X d P=\int_{\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{-1}(B)} E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right) d P .
$$

If $B=B_{1} \times B_{2}$, where $B_{i} \in \mathscr{B}^{k_{i}}$, then

$$
\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{-1}(B)=Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)} E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right) d P & =\int I_{Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right)} I_{Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)} E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right) d P \\
& =\int I_{Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right)} E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right) d P \int I_{Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)} d P \\
& =\int I_{Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right)} X d P \int I_{Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)} d P \\
& =\int I_{Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right)} I_{Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)} X d P \\
& =\int_{Y_{1}^{-1}\left(B_{1}\right) \cap Y_{2}^{-1}\left(B_{2}\right)} X d P,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and the next to last equalities follow the independence of $\left(X, Y_{1}\right)$ and $Y_{2}$, and the third equality follows from the fact that $E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right)$ is the conditional expectation of $X$ given $Y_{1}$.
This shows that the result for $B=B_{1} \times B_{2}$.
Note that $\mathscr{B}^{k_{1}} \times \mathscr{B}^{k_{2}}$ is a $\pi$-system.
We can show that the following collection is a $\lambda$-system:

$$
\mathscr{H}=\left\{B \subset \mathscr{R}^{k_{1}+k_{2}}: \int_{\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{-1}(B)} X d P=\int_{\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)^{-1}(B)} E\left(X \mid Y_{1}\right) d P\right\}
$$

Since we have already shown that $\mathscr{B}^{k_{1}} \times \mathscr{B}^{k_{2}} \subset \mathscr{H}$, $\mathscr{B}^{k_{1}+k_{2}}=\sigma\left(\mathscr{B}^{k_{1}} \times \mathscr{B}^{k_{2}}\right) \subset \mathscr{H}$ and thus the result follows.

## Remark

The result in Proposition 1.11 still holds if $X$ is replaced by $h(X)$ for any Borel $h$ and, hence,

$$
P\left(A \mid Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)=P\left(A \mid Y_{1}\right) \text { a.s. for any } A \in \sigma(X)
$$

if $\left(X, Y_{1}\right)$ and $Y_{2}$ are independent.

## Conditional independence

Let $X, Y$, and $Z$ be random vectors.
We say that given $Z, X$ and $Y$ are conditionally independent iff

$$
P(A \mid X, Z)=P(A \mid Z) \text { a.s. for any } A \in \sigma(Y) \text {. }
$$

Proposition 1.11 can be stated as: if $Y_{2}$ and $\left(X, Y_{1}\right)$ are independent, then given $Y_{1}, X$ and $Y_{2}$ are conditionally independent.

## Discussion

- Conditional independence is a very important concept for statistics.
- For example, if $X$ and $Z$ are covariates associated with a response $Y$, and if given $Z, X$ and $Y$ are conditionally independent, then when we have $Z$, we do not need $X$ to study the relationship between $Y$ and covariates.
- The dimension of the covariate vector is reduced without losing information (sufficient dimension reduction).
- Although $X$ may be unconditionally dependent of $Y$, it is related with $Y$ through $Z$.

