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## Linear Regression Model

Consider the linear regression model

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\epsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n,
$$

where $Y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a response variable, $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ is a $p_{n} \times 1$ covariate vector and $\epsilon_{i}$ 's are i.i.d. random error terms.

- Assume: $\beta_{0}=0$ (It can be achieved by centering the response and covariates.)
- Interested in: estimating the vector of regression coefficients $\beta$ when $p_{n}$ may go to infinity and $\beta$ is sparse (many of its elements are zero).


## Bridge Estimator

## Penalized least squares objective function

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p_{n}}\left|\beta_{j}\right|^{\gamma} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}$ is a penalty parameter, and $\gamma>0$.

## Definition (Bridge Estimator)

The value $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$ that minimizes (1) is called a bridge estimator [Frank and Friedman (1993) and Fu (1998)].

- When $\gamma=2$, it is the ridge estimator [Hoerl and Kennard (1970)].
- When $\gamma=1$, it is the LASSO estimator [Tibshirani (1996)].


## A Property of Bridge Estimator

- Knight and Fu (2000): when $0<\gamma \leq 1$, some components of the bridge estimator can be exactly zero if $\lambda_{n}$ is sufficiently large.
$\Rightarrow$ The bridge estimator for $0<\gamma \leq 1$ provides a way to achieve variable selection and parameter estimation in a single step.
- In this paper: $0<\gamma<1$ is concerned.
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## Bridge Estimator: Knight and Fu (2000)

Knight and $\mathrm{Fu}(2000)$ studies the asymptotic properties of bridge estimators when the number of covariates is finite. They showed that, under appropriate regularity conditions,

- the bridge estimator is consistent;
- for $0<\gamma \leq 1$, the limiting distributions can have positive probability mass at 0 when the true value of the parameter is zero;
- the usage of bridge estimators: distinguish the covariates with coefficients between exactly zero and nonzero.


## Another Penalization Method: SCAD

For the SCAD penalty, Fan and Peng (2004) studied asymptotic properties of penalized likelihood methods. They showed there exist local maximizers that have an oracle property:

- correctly select the nonzero coefficients with probability converging to 1 ;
- the estimators of the nonzero coefficients are asymptotically normal with the same means and covariances that they would have if the zero coefficients were known in advance.
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## What You Can Expect Is ...

- Extend the results of Knight and Fu (2000) to infinite-dimensional parameter settings. It is proved that bridge estimator is consistent for any $\gamma>0$.
- Show that under $0<\gamma<1$, the bridge estimator has the similar oracle property as Fan and Peng (2004).

Limitation: the condition that $p_{n}<n$ is needed, for identification and consistent estimation of the regression parameter.

- In studies of relationships between a phenotype and microarray gene expression profiles, the number of genes (covariates) is typically much greater than the sample size.


## The $p_{n}>n$ Scenario

Motivation: How to deal with the "not identifiable" problem?
If $\mathbf{X}$ are mutually orthogonal,

- Each regression coefficient can be estimated by univariate regression.
- This assumption is too strong.

Answer: use the marginal bridge estimator under a partial orthogonality condition.

- The marginal bridge estimator can consistently distinguish between zero and nonzero coefficients, although the the estimation is not consistent.
- The good estimator can be obtained by a two-step approach.
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## Notations

- $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}$ : true parameter. Let $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{20}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, where $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}$ (nonzero coefficients) is a $k_{n} \times 1$ vector, and $\beta_{20}=\mathbf{0}$ is a $m_{n} \times 1$ vector.
- $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, \cdots, x_{i p_{n}}\right)^{\prime}$ is a $p_{n} \times 1$ vector of covariates of the $i$ th observation.
- $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$, where $\mathbf{w}_{i}$ is corresponding to the nonzero coefficients, and $\mathbf{z}_{j}$ to the zero coefficients.
- $\mathbf{X}_{n}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)^{\prime}, \mathbf{X}_{1 n}=\left(\mathbf{w}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{w}_{n}\right)^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{X}_{2 n}=\left(\mathbf{z}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_{n}\right)^{\prime}$.
- $\Sigma_{n}=n^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{1 n}=n^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1 n}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}_{1 n}$.
- Let $\rho_{1 n}\left(\rho_{2 n}\right)$ and $\tau_{1 n}\left(\tau_{2 n}\right)$ be the smallest (largest) eigenvalue of $\Sigma_{n}$ and $\Sigma_{1 n}$, respectively.


## Assumptions

- The covariates are assumed to be fixed. But for the random covariates, the results hold conditionally on the covariates.
- Assume that $Y_{i}$ 's are centered and the covariates are standardized, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}=0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}=0, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{2}=1
$$

## Regularity Conditions

## (A1) Error Terms

$\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \cdots$ are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$, where
$0<\sigma^{2}<\infty$.

## (A2) Smallest eigenvalue of $\Sigma_{n}$

(a) $\rho_{1 n}>0$ for all $n$; (b) $\left(p_{n}+\lambda_{n} k_{n}\right)\left(n \rho_{1 n}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$

Notes:

- (A2)(a) implies that $\Sigma_{n}$ is nonsingular for each $n$, but it allows $\rho_{1 n} \rightarrow 0$
- (A2)(b) is a condition needed in the proof of consistency. And $\sqrt{\left(p_{n}+\lambda_{n} k_{n}\right) /\left(n \rho_{1 n}\right)}$ is part of the consistent rate of the bridge estimator.


## Regularity Conditions

## (A3) Restrictions on $\lambda_{n}, k_{n}$ and $p_{n}$

(a) $\lambda_{n}\left(k_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$; (b) $\lambda_{n} n^{-\gamma / 2}\left(\rho_{1 n} / \sqrt{p_{n}}\right)^{2-\gamma} \rightarrow \infty$

It's needed in the proof of consistency and oracle property. If $\rho_{1 n}$ is bounded away from 0 and $\infty$ for all $n$, and $k_{n}$ is finite, then

## (A3)' Simplified Version of (A3)

(a) $\lambda_{n} n^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$; (b) $\lambda_{n}^{2} n^{-\gamma} p_{n}^{-(2-\gamma)} \rightarrow \infty$

- The penalty parameter $\lambda_{n}$ must always be $o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.
- The smaller the $\gamma$, the larger $p_{n}$ is allowed. For $\gamma=0$, $p_{n}=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.
- If $\gamma=1$, then (A3)' $(\mathrm{b})$ becomes $\left(\lambda_{n}^{2} n^{-1}\right) / p_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, which is impossible. Therefore, (A3)'(b) excludes $\gamma=1$ (LASSO).


## Regularity Conditions

## (A4) Nonzero Coefficients

There exist constants $0<b_{0}<b_{1}<\infty$ such that

$$
b_{0} \leq \min \left\{\left|\beta_{1 j}\right|, 1 \leq j \leq k_{n}\right\} \leq \max \left\{\left|\beta_{1 j}\right|, 1 \leq j \leq k_{n}\right\} \leq b_{1}
$$

This condition assumes the nonzero coefficients are uniformly bounded away from 0 and $\infty$.

## Regularity Conditions

## (A5) Condition on $\Sigma_{1 n}=n^{-1} X_{1 n}^{1} X_{1 n}$

(a) There exist constants $0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\infty$ such that
$\tau_{1} \leq \tau_{1 n} \leq \tau_{2 n} \leq \tau_{2}$ for all $n$;
(b) $n^{-1 / 2} \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{w}_{i} \rightarrow 0$.

- (a) assumes $\Sigma_{1 n}$ is strictly positive definite. In the sparse problems, $k_{n}$ is small relative to $n$. Then this assumption is reasonable.
- (b) is needed in the proof of asymptotic normality of nonzero coefficients. In fact, if all the covariates corresponding to the nonzero coefficients are bounded by a constant C , then by condition (A3)(a),

$$
n^{-1 / 2} \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{w}_{i} \leq n^{-1 / 2} k_{n} C \rightarrow 0
$$

## Consistency

## Theorem 1 (Consistency)

Let $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$ denote the minimizer of (1). Suppose that $\gamma>0$ and that conditions (A1), (A2), (A3)(a) and (A4) hold. Let $h_{n}=\rho_{1 n}^{-1}\left(p_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $h_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\left(p_{n}+\lambda_{n} k_{n}\right) /\left(n \rho_{1 n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$. Then $\left\|\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}\right\|=O_{p}\left(\min \left\{h_{n}, h_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$

## Notes:

- Theorem 1 states that the variable selection and coefficient estimation can be achieved in one single step.
- It holds for any $\gamma>0$, including LASSO and ridge estimators.


## Consistency

## Discussion: The Convergence Rate.

The convergence rate is $O_{p}\left(\min \left\{h_{n}, h_{n}^{\prime}\right\}\right)$, where $h_{n}=\rho_{1 n}^{-1}\left(p_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $h_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\left(p_{n}+\lambda_{n} k_{n}\right) /\left(n \rho_{1 n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

- If $\rho_{1 n}>\rho_{1}>0$ for all $n$, then $\min \left\{h_{n}, h_{n}^{\prime}\right\}=h_{n}$, and the convergence rate is $O_{p}\left(\left(p_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$.
- Furthermore, if $p_{n}$ is finite, then the rate is the familiar $n^{-1 / 2}$.
- If $\rho_{1 n} \rightarrow 0$, then $h_{n}$ may not converge to zero faster than $h_{n}^{\prime}$. And the convergence rate will be slower than $n^{-1 / 2}$.


## Oracle Property

## Theorem 2 (Oracle Property)

Let $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}=\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2 n}\right)$, where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2 n}$ are estimators of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}$ and $\beta_{20}$, respectively. Suppose that $0<\gamma<1$ and that conditions (A1) to (A5) are satisfied. We have the following:
(1) $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2 n}=\mathbf{0}$ with probability converging to 1 .
(2) Let $s_{n}^{2}=\sigma^{2} \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \Sigma_{1 n}^{-1} \alpha_{n}$ for any $k_{n} \times 1$ vector $\alpha_{n}$ satisfying $\left\|\alpha_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{1 / 2} s_{n}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}\right) \\
= & n^{1 / 2} s_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\prime} \Sigma_{1 n}^{-1} \mathbf{w}_{i}+o_{p}(1) \rightarrow_{D} N(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $o_{p}(1)$ converges to zero in prob uniformly w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}$.

## Oracle Property

## Discussion: Asymptotic Normality for $\widehat{\beta}_{1 n j}$

- Let $\widehat{\beta}_{1 n j}$ and $\beta_{10 j}$ be the $j$ th components of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}$, respectively.
- Set $\alpha_{n}=\mathbf{e}_{j}$, where $\mathbf{e}_{j}$ is the unit vector whose only nonzero element is the $j$ th element. Then $s_{n}^{2}=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{e}_{j}^{\prime} \Sigma_{1 n}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{j}$, and denote it as $s_{n j}^{2}$.
- Applying Theorem 2 (2), we have

$$
n^{1 / 2} s_{n j}^{-1}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1 n j}-\beta_{10 j}\right) \rightarrow_{D} N(0,1)
$$
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## Marginal Bridge Objective Function

Recall that:

- In some problems, like the "phenotype and microarray gene expression ", Theorem 1 and 2 are not applicable.
- In the scenario $p_{n}>n$, extra condition on the design matrix is needed. (partial orthogonality condition).
- A univariate version of bridge estimator, marginal bridge estimator, is studied.


## Definition

The marginal bridge objective function is

$$
U_{n}(\boldsymbol{\beta})=\sum_{j=1}^{p_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-x_{i j} \beta_{j}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p_{n}}\left|\beta_{j}\right|^{\gamma}
$$

## New Notations

- $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}$ : marginal bridge estimator (the value minimizes $U_{n}$ ). Write $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n}=\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n 1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n 2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ according to the partition $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0}=\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{20}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$.
- Let $K_{n}=\left\{1, \cdots, k_{n}\right\}$ and $J_{n}=\left\{k_{n}+1, \cdots, p_{n}\right\}$ be the set of indices of nonzero and zero coefficients, respectively.
- $\xi_{n j}$ : the "covariance" between the $j$ th covariate and the response variable.

$$
\xi_{n j}=n^{-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} x_{i j}\right)=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}\right) x_{i j}
$$

Therefore, $\xi_{n j} / \sigma$ is the correlation coefficient.

## New Regularity Conditions

## (B1) Error Terms

(a) $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \cdots$ are i.i.d. r.v.'s with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$, where $0<\sigma^{2}<\infty$.
(b) $\epsilon_{i}$ 's are sub-Gaussian, i.e., the tail probability satisfying $P\left(\left|\epsilon_{i}\right|>x\right) \leq K \exp \left(-C x^{2}\right)$ for constants $C$ and $K$.

Note: There is no normality assumption about the error terms. Instead, the tails of the error distribution should behave like normal tails.

## New Regularity Conditions

## (B2) Partial Orthogonality Condition

(a) There exists a constant $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left|n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} x_{i k}\right| \leq c_{0}, \quad j \in J_{n}, k \in K_{n},
$$

for all $n$ sufficiently large.
(b) There exists a constant $\xi_{0}>0$ such that $\min _{k \in K_{n}}\left|\xi_{n j}\right|>\xi_{0}$.

- Condition (a) assumes that the covariates of the nonzero and zero coefficients are only weekly correlated
- Condition (b) requires the correlations between covariates with nonzero coefficients and response are bounded away from zero.


## New Regularity Conditions

(B3) Restrictions on $\lambda_{n}, k_{n}$ and $m_{n}$
(a) $\lambda_{n} / n \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_{n} n^{-\gamma / 2} k_{n}^{\gamma-2} \rightarrow \infty$;
(b) $\log \left(m_{n}\right)=o(1) \times\left(\lambda_{n} n^{-\gamma / 2}\right)^{2 /(2-\gamma)}$

Notes:
(1) $\lambda_{n}=o(n), k_{n}=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$, and $\log \left(m_{n}\right)=o(n)$.
(2) "Sparse" requires $k_{n}=o\left(n^{1 / 2}\right)$.
(3) The condition permits $p_{n} / n \rightarrow \infty$.

## (B4) Nonzero Coefficients

There exists a constant $0<b_{1}<\infty$ such that $\max _{k \in K_{n}}\left|\beta_{1 k}\right| \leq b_{1}$

## Correctly Identify Zero and Nonzero Coefficients

## Theorem 3

Suppose that conditions (B1) to (B4) hold and that $0<\gamma<1$. Then

$$
P\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{n 2}=\mathbf{0}\right) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { and } \quad P\left(\tilde{\beta}_{n 1 k} \neq 0, k \in K_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1
$$

- The estimators of nonzero coefficients are not consistent.
- To get consistent estimators, a two-step approach is needed.
( First step: using marginal bridge estimator (by Theorem 3).
(2) Second step: any reasonable regression method can be used.


## The Second Step: Bridge Regression

- Assume that only the covariates with nonzero coefficients are included in the model in this step.
- Let $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}^{*}$ be the estimator. It's defined as the value minimizing


## Step 2: Bridge Regression

$$
U_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right)^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(Y_{i}-\mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}\right)^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{n}}\left|\beta_{1 j}\right|^{\gamma}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}=\left(\beta_{11}, \cdots, \beta_{1 k_{n}}\right)$

## Additional Regularity Conditions

## (B5) Conditions on $\Sigma_{1 n}$

(a) There exists a constant $\tau_{1}>0$ such that $\tau_{1 n} \geq \tau_{1}$ for all $n$ sufficiently large;
(b) The covariates of nonzero coefficients satisfy
$n^{-1 / 2} \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{w}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{w}_{i} \rightarrow 0$.
It's similar to condition (A5).
(B6) Restrictions on $k_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n}^{*}$
(a) $k_{n}\left(1+\lambda_{n}^{*}\right) / n \rightarrow 0$; (b) $\lambda_{n}^{*}\left(k_{n} / n\right)^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$.

Note: From (B6), one can set $\lambda_{n}^{*}=0$ for all $n$. Then $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}^{*}$ is the OLS estimator.

## Asymptotic Normality of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}^{*}$

## Theorem 4

Suppose that conditions (B1) to (B6) hold and that $0<\gamma<1$. Let $s_{n}^{2}=\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\prime} \Sigma_{1 n}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}$ for any $k_{n} \times 1$ vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}$ satisfying $\left\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{s}_{n}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1 n}^{*}-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{10}\right) \\
= & n^{1 / 2} \boldsymbol{s}_{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}^{\prime} \Sigma_{1 n}^{-1} \mathbf{w}_{i}+o p(1) \rightarrow_{D} N(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $o_{p}(1)$ is a term that converges to zero in probability uniformly w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n}$.

Note: This is the same result as Theorem 2 (2).
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## Simulation

There are six examples simulated in the paper, all the data from the model

$$
y=\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

where

- $\sigma=1.5$
- $\mathbf{x}$ is generated from a multivariate normal with marginal distributions being standard normal $N(0,1)$.
- $n=100$
- Number of covariates with nonzero coefficients is 15.


## Six Examples

## Example 1

- $p=30$
- The pairwise correlation between the $i$ th and the $j$ th components of $\mathbf{x}$ is $r^{|i-j|}$ with $r=0.5$
- The true $\beta$ is $(\underbrace{2.5, \cdots, 2.5}_{5}, \underbrace{1.5, \cdots, 1.5}_{5}, \underbrace{0.5, \cdots, 0.5}_{5}, 0, \cdots)$


## Example 2

The same as Example 1, except that $r=0.95$

## Six Examples

## Example 3

- $p=30$
- The covariates are generated as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{i}=Z_{1}+e_{i}, & Z_{1} \sim N(0,1), & i=1, \ldots, 5 \\
x_{i}=Z_{2}+e_{i}, & Z_{2} \sim N(0,1), & i=6, \ldots, 10 \\
x_{i}=Z_{3}+e_{i}, & Z_{3} \sim N(0,1), & i=11, \ldots, 15 \\
x_{i} \sim N(0,1), & x_{i} \text { i.i.d. } & i=16, \ldots, 30
\end{array}
$$

where $e_{i}$ are i.i.d. $N(0,0.01), i=1, \ldots, 15$

- The true $\beta$ is $(\underbrace{1.5, \cdots, 1.5}_{15}, 0, \cdots)$


## Six Examples

## Example 4

- $p=200$
- The first 15 covariates and the remaining 185 covariates (two groups) are independent.
- The pairwise correlation between the ith and the jth components within two groups is $r^{|i-j|}$ with $r=0.5$
- The true $\beta$ is $(\underbrace{2.5, \cdots, 2.5}_{5}, \underbrace{1.5, \cdots, 1.5}_{5}, \underbrace{0.5, \cdots, 0.5}_{5}, 0, \cdots)$


## Example 5

The same as Example 4, except that $r=0.95$

## Six Examples

## Example 6

- $p=500$
- The first 15 covariates are generated the same way as in Example 5.
- The remaining 485 covariates are independent of the first 15 covariates and are generated independently from $N(0,1)$.
- The true $\beta$ is $(\underbrace{1.5, \cdots, 1.5}_{15}, 0, \cdots)$


## Result 1: Prediction MSE

## TABLE 1

Simulation study: comparison of $O L S, R R$, LASSO, Elastic net and the bridge estimator with $\gamma=1 / 2$. PMSE: median of PMSE, inside " $(\cdot)$ " are the corresponding standard deviations.

Covariate: median of number of covariates with nonzero coefficients

| Example |  | OLS | RR | LASSO | ENet | Bridge |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PMSE | $3.32(0.58)$ | $3.51(0.69)$ | $2.92(0.51)$ | $2.80(0.47)$ | $2.95(0.51)$ |
|  | Covariate | 30 | 30 | 23 | 22 | 17 |
| 2 | PMSE | $3.21(0.53)$ | $2.65(0.41)$ | $2.60(0.40)$ | $2.46(0.35)$ | $2.37(0.36)$ |
|  | Covariate | 30 | 30 | 18 | 16 | 15 |
| 3 | PMSE | $3.26(0.58)$ | $3.34(0.58)$ | $2.66(0.40)$ | $2.38(0.33)$ | $2.31(0.34)$ |
|  | Covariate | 30 | 30 | 18 | 15 | 15 |
| 4 | PMSE | - | $20.45(2.02)$ | $3.55(0.64)$ | $3.30(0.53)$ | $3.98(0.83)$ |
|  | Covariate | - | 200 | 37 | 37 | 29 |
| 5 | PMSE | - | $5.80(1.31)$ | $2.71(0.42)$ | $2.50(0.36)$ | $2.64(0.44)$ |
|  | Covariate | - | 200 | 25 | 16 | 15 |
| 6 | PMSE | - | $43.10(2.23)$ | $3.51(0.57)$ | $2.70(0.49)$ | $2.68(0.39)$ |
|  | Covariate | - | 500 | 43 | 20 | 17 |

## Result 2: Probability of Correctly Identified



Fig. 1. Simulation study (Examples 1-6): probability of individual covariate effect being correctly identified. Circle: LASSO; Triangle: ENet; Plus sign: Bridge estimate.
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## Summary

- The asymptotic properties of bridge estimators is studied when $p_{n}$ and $k_{n}$ increase to infinity.
- When $0<\gamma<1$, bridge estimators correctly identify zero coefficients with probability converging to one, and that the estimators of nonzero coefficients are asymptotically normal and oracle efficient, under the scenario $p_{n}<n$.
- For the scenario $p_{n}>n$, a marginal bridge estimator is considered under the partial orthogonality condition. It can consistently distinguish covariates of zero and nonzero coefficients.
- In this scenario, the number of zero coefficients can be in the order of $o\left(e^{n}\right)$.

