

# CONCURRENCY: CONDITION VARIABLES, SEMAPHORES

Shivaram Venkataraman

CS 537, Spring 2019

# ADMINISTRIVIA

- Project 3 is due next Monday 3/11
- Midterm is next Wednesday 3/13 at 5.15pm, details on Piazza
- Includes all material covered till 3/12
- Work out practice midterms before discussion!

# AGENDA / LEARNING OUTCOMES

## Concurrency abstractions

How to implement producer-consumer pattern with CV/locks?

How can semaphores help this implementation?

**RECAP**

# CONCURRENCY OBJECTIVES

**Mutual exclusion** (e.g., A and B don't run at same time)

solved with *locks*

**Ordering** (e.g., B runs after A does something)

solved with *condition variables* and *semaphores*

# ORDERING EXAMPLE: JOIN

```
pthread_t p1, p2;
Pthread_create(&p1, NULL, mythread, "A");
Pthread_create(&p2, NULL, mythread, "B");
// join waits for the threads to finish
Pthread_join(p1, NULL);
Pthread_join(p2, NULL);
printf("main: done\n [balance: %d]\n [should: %d]\n",
       balance, max*2);
return 0;
```

how to implement join()?

# CONDITION VARIABLES

**wait**(cond\_t \*cv, mutex\_t \*lock)

- assumes the lock is held when wait() is called
- puts caller to sleep + releases the lock (atomically)
- when awoken, reacquires lock before returning

**signal**(cond\_t \*cv)

- wake a single waiting thread (if  $\geq 1$  thread is waiting)
- if there is no waiting thread, just return, doing nothing

# JOIN IMPLEMENTATION: CORRECT

Parent:

```
void thread_join() {  
    Mutex_lock(&m);           // w  
    if (done == 0)           // x  
        Cond_wait(&c, &m); // y  
    Mutex_unlock(&m);        // z  
}
```

Child:

```
void thread_exit() {  
    Mutex_lock(&m);           // a  
    done = 1;                // b  
    Cond_signal(&c);         // c  
    Mutex_unlock(&m);        // d  
}
```

Parent: w            x            y                            z

Child:                            a            b            c

Use mutex to ensure no race between interacting with state and wait/signal



# RULES OF THUMB

**Keep state** in addition to CV's!

CV's are used to signal threads when state changes

If state is already as needed, thread doesn't wait for a signal!

**Hold mutex lock** while calling wait/signal

Ensures no race between interacting with state and wait/signal

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER PROBLEM

# EXAMPLE: UNIX PIPES

A pipe may have many writers and readers

Internally, there is a finite-sized buffer

Writers add data to the buffer

- Writers have to wait if buffer is full

Readers remove data from the buffer

- Readers have to wait if buffer is empty

# EXAMPLE: UNIX PIPES

start

Buf:



end

# EXAMPLE: UNIX PIPES

Implementation:

- reads/writes to buffer require locking
- when buffers are full, writers must wait
- when buffers are empty, readers must wait

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER PROBLEM

**Producers** generate data (like pipe writers)

**Consumers** grab data and process it (like pipe readers)

Producer/consumer problems are frequent in systems (e.g. web servers)

General strategy use condition variables to:

- make producers wait when buffers are full

- make consumers wait when there is nothing to consume

# PRODUCE/CONSUMER EXAMPLE

Start with easy case:

- 1 producer thread
- 1 consumer thread
- 1 shared buffer to fill/consume (max = 1)

Numfull = number of buffers currently filled

# numfull

Thread 1 state:

```
void *producer(void *arg) {  
    for (int i=0; i<loops; i++) {  
        Mutex_lock(&m);  
        if(numfull == max)  
            Cond_wait(&cond, &m);  
        do_fill(i);  
        Cond_signal(&cond);  
        Mutex_unlock(&m);  
    }  
}
```

Thread 2 state:

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {  
    while(1) {  
        Mutex_lock(&m);  
        if(numfull == 0)  
            Cond_wait(&cond, &m);  
        int tmp = do_get();  
        Cond_signal(&cond);  
        Mutex_unlock(&m);  
        printf("%d\n", tmp);  
    }  
}
```



# WHAT ABOUT 2 CONSUMERS?

Can you find a problematic timeline with 2 consumers (still 1 producer)?



# HOW TO WAKE THE RIGHT THREAD?

Wake all the threads!?

Better solution (usually): use two condition variables

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: TWO CVS

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        Mutex_lock(&m); // p1
        if (numfull == max) // p2
            Cond_wait(&empty, &m); // p3
        do_fill(i); // p4
        Cond_signal(&fill); // p5
        Mutex_unlock(&m); //p6
    }
}
```

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {
    while (1) {
        Mutex_lock(&m);
        if (numfull == 0)
            Cond_wait(&fill, &m);
        int tmp = do_get();
        Cond_signal(&empty);
        Mutex_unlock(&m);
    }
}
```

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: TWO CVS

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        Mutex_lock(&m);           // p1
        if (numfull == max)      // p2
            Cond_wait(&empty, &m); // p3
        do_fill(i);             // p4
        Cond_signal(&fill);      // p5
        Mutex_unlock(&m);       // p6
    }
}
```

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {
    while (1) {
        Mutex_lock(&m);           //c1
        if (numfull == 0)        //c2
            Cond_wait(&fill, &m); //c3
        int tmp = do_get();      //c4
        Cond_signal(&empty);     //c5
        Mutex_unlock(&m);       //c6
    }
}
```

**Producer:**

**Consumer1:**

**Consumer2:**

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: TWO CVS AND WHILE

```
void *producer(void *arg) {
    for (int i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
        Mutex_lock(&m); // p1
        while (numfull == max) // p2
            Cond_wait(&empty, &m); // p3
        do_fill(i); // p4
        Cond_signal(&fill); // p5
        Mutex_unlock(&m); //p6
    }
}
```

```
void *consumer(void *arg) {
    while (1) {
        Mutex_lock(&m);
        while (numfull == 0)
            Cond_wait(&fill, &m);
        int tmp = do_get();
        Cond_signal(&empty);
        Mutex_unlock(&m);
    }
}
```

# GOOD RULE OF THUMB 3

Whenever a lock is acquired, **recheck assumptions** about state!

Another thread could grab lock in between signal and wakeup from wait

Note that some libraries also have “spurious wakeups”

(may wake multiple waiting threads at signal or at any time)

# SUMMARY: RULES OF THUMB FOR CVS

1. Keep state in addition to CV's
2. Always do wait/signal with lock held
3. Whenever thread wakes from waiting, recheck state



# SUMMARY: CONDITION VARIABLES

`wait(cond_t *cv, mutex_t *lock)`

- assumes the lock is held when `wait()` is called
- puts caller to sleep + releases the lock (atomically)
- when awoken, reacquires lock before returning

`signal(cv)`

- wake a single waiting thread (if  $\geq 1$  thread is waiting)
- if there is no waiting thread, just return, doing nothing

# INTRODUCING SEMAPHORES

Condition variables have no **state** (other than waiting queue)

- Programmer must track additional state

Semaphores have state: **track integer value**

- State cannot be directly accessed by user program, but state determines behavior of semaphore operations

# SEMAPHORE OPERATIONS

## Allocate and Initialize

```
sem_t sem;  
sem_init(sem_t *s, int initval) {  
    s->value = initval;  
}
```

User cannot read or write value directly after initialization

**Wait or Test (sometime P() for Dutch) **sem\_wait(sem\_t\*)****

Decrements sem value, Waits until value of sem is  $\geq 0$

**Signal or Post (sometime V() for Dutch) **sem\_post(sem\_t\*)****

Increment sem value, then wake a single waiter

# BUNNY

<https://tinyurl.com/cs537-sp19-bunny7>



# BUNNY: BUILD LOCK FROM SEMAPHORE

```
typedef struct __lock_t {  
    sem_t sem;  
} lock_t;
```

```
void init(lock_t *lock) {  
  
}
```

```
void acquire(lock_t *lock) {  
  
}
```

```
void release(lock_t *lock) {  
  
}
```

sem\_init(sem\_t\*, int initial)

sem\_wait(sem\_t\*): Decrement, wait until value  $\geq 0$

sem\_post(sem\_t\*): Increment value

then wake a single waiter

<https://tinyurl.com/cs537-sp19-bunny7>

# JOIN WITH CV VS SEMAPHORES

```
void thread_join() {  
    Mutex_lock(&m);           // w  
    if (done == 0)           // x  
        Cond_wait(&c, &m);   // y  
    Mutex_unlock(&m);        // z  
}
```

```
void thread_exit() {  
    Mutex_lock(&m);           // a  
    done = 1;                // b  
    Cond_signal(&c);         // c  
    Mutex_unlock(&m);        // d  
}
```

```
sem_t s;  
sem_init(&s, __-);
```

```
void thread_join() {  
    sem_wait(&s);  
}
```

sem\_wait(): Decrement, wait until value  $\geq 0$   
sem\_post(): Increment value, then wake a single waiter

```
void thread_exit() {  
    sem_post(&s)  
}
```

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: SEMAPHORES #1

Single producer thread, single consumer thread

Single shared buffer between producer and consumer

Use 2 semaphores

- emptyBuffer: Initialize to \_\_\_\_\_
- fullBuffer: Initialize to \_\_\_\_\_

Producer

```
while (1) {  
    sem_wait(&emptyBuffer);  
    Fill(&buffer);  
    sem_signal(&fullBuffer);  
}
```

Consumer

```
while (1) {  
    sem_wait(&fullBuffer);  
    Use(&buffer);  
    sem_signal(&emptyBuffer);  
}
```

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: SEMAPHORES #2

Single producer thread, single consumer thread

Shared buffer with **N elements** between producer and consumer

Use 2 semaphores

- emptyBuffer: Initialize to \_\_\_\_\_
- fullBuffer: Initialize to \_\_\_\_\_

Producer

```
i = 0;
while (1) {
    sem_wait(&emptyBuffer);
    Fill(&buffer[i]);
    i = (i+1)%N;
    sem_signal(&fullBuffer);
}
```

Consumer

```
j = 0;
While (1) {
    sem_wait(&fullBuffer);
    Use(&buffer[j]);
    j = (j+1)%N;
    sem_signal(&emptyBuffer);
}
```



# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: SEMAPHORE #3

Final case:

- Multiple producer threads, multiple consumer threads
- Shared buffer with N elements between producer and consumer

Requirements

- Each consumer must grab unique filled element
- Each producer must grab unique empty element

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: MULTIPLE THREADS

Producer

```
while (1) {  
    sem_wait(&emptyBuffer);  
    my_i = findempty(&buffer);  
    Fill(&buffer[my_i]);  
    sem_signal(&fullBuffer);  
}
```

Consumer

```
while (1) {  
    sem_wait(&fullBuffer);  
    my_j = findfull(&buffer);  
    Use(&buffer[my_j]);  
    sem_signal(&emptyBuffer);  
}
```

Are my\_i and my\_j private or shared? Where is mutual exclusion needed???

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: MULTIPLE THREADS

Consider three possible locations for mutual exclusion  
Which work??? Which is best???

Producer #1

```
sem_wait(&mutex);  
sem_wait(&emptyBuffer);  
my_i = findempty(&buffer);  
Fill(&buffer[my_i]);  
sem_signal(&fullBuffer);  
sem_signal(&mutex);
```

Consumer #1

```
sem_wait(&mutex);  
sem_wait(&fullBuffer);  
my_j = findfull(&buffer);  
Use(&buffer[my_j]);  
sem_signal(&emptyBuffer);  
sem_signal(&mutex);
```

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: MULTIPLE THREADS

Producer #2

```
sem_wait(&emptyBuffer);  
sem_wait(&mutex);  
myi = findempty(&buffer);  
Fill(&buffer[myi]);  
sem_signal(&mutex);  
sem_signal(&fullBuffer);
```

Consumer #2

```
sem_wait(&fullBuffer);  
sem_wait(&mutex);  
myj = findfull(&buffer);  
Use(&buffer[myj]);  
sem_signal(&mutex);  
sem_signal(&emptyBuffer);
```

Works, but limits concurrency:

Only 1 thread at a time can be using or filling different buffers

# PRODUCER/CONSUMER: MULTIPLE THREADS

Producer #3

```
sem_wait(&emptyBuffer);  
sem_wait(&mutex);  
myi = findempty(&buffer);  
sem_signal(&mutex);  
Fill(&buffer[myi]);  
sem_signal(&fullBuffer);
```

Consumer #3

```
sem_wait(&fullBuffer);  
sem_wait(&mutex);  
myj = findfull(&buffer);  
sem_signal(&mutex);  
Use(&buffer[myj]);  
sem_signal(&emptyBuffer);
```

Works and increases concurrency; only finding a buffer is protected by mutex;  
Filling or Using different buffers can proceed concurrently

# READER/WRITER LOCKS

Let multiple reader threads grab lock (shared)

Only one writer thread can grab lock (exclusive)

- No reader threads
- No other writer threads

Let us see if we can understand code...

# READER/WRITER LOCKS

```
1 typedef struct _rwlock_t {
2     sem_t lock;
3     sem_t writelock;
4     int readers;
5 } rwlock_t;
6
7 void rwlock_init(rwlock_t *rw) {
8     rw->readers = 0;
9     sem_init(&rw->lock, 1);
10    sem_init(&rw->writelock, 1);
11 }
```

# READER/WRITER LOCKS

```
13 void rwlock_acquire_readlock(rwlock_t *rw) {
14     sem_wait(&rw->lock);
15     rw->readers++;
16     if (rw->readers == 1)
17         sem_wait(&rw->writelock);
18     sem_post(&rw->lock);
19 }
21 void rwlock_release_readlock(rwlock_t *rw) {
22     sem_wait(&rw->lock);
23     rw->readers--;
24     if (rw->readers == 0)
25         sem_post(&rw->writelock);
26     sem_post(&rw->lock);
27 }
29 rwlock_acquire_writelock(rwlock_t *rw) { sem_wait(&rw->writelock); }
31 rwlock_release_writelock(rwlock_t *rw) { sem_post(&rw->writelock); }
```

T1: acquire\_readlock()  
T2: acquire\_readlock()  
T3: acquire\_writelock()  
T2: release\_readlock()  
T1: release\_readlock()  
T4: acquire\_readlock()  
T5: acquire\_readlock()  
T3: release\_writelock()  
// what happens next?



# SEMAPHORES

Semaphores are equivalent to locks + condition variables

- Can be used for both mutual exclusion and ordering

Semaphores contain **state**

- How they are initialized depends on how they will be used
- Init to 0: Join (1 thread must arrive first, then other)
- Init to N: Number of available resources

`Sem_wait()`: Waits until value  $> 0$ , then decrement (atomic)

`Sem_post()`: Increment value, then wake a single waiter (atomic)

Can use semaphores in producer/consumer and for reader/writer locks

# NEXT STEPS

Project 3: Out now!

Midterm details posted

Next class: How to build a semaphore, deadlocks