MEMORY: SMALLER PAGETABLES

Shivaram Venkataraman CS 537, Spring 2019

ADMINISTRIVIA

- Project 2a is due Friday
- Project Ib grades this week

- Midterm makeup
- Discussion today: xv6 scheduler walk through

OFFICE HOURS?



OFFICE HOURS?



OFFICE HOURS

- I. One question per student at a time
- 2. Please be prepared before asking questions.
- 3. The TAs might not be able to fix your problem
- 4. Up to 10 mins per student.

Search Piazza?

Discussion section: Using gdb

Extra office hours in the afternoon and evening tomorrow till 8pm!

AGENDA / LEARNING OUTCOMES

Memory virtualization

How we reduce the size of page tables? What can we do to handle large address spaces?

RECAP

PAGING TRANSLATION STEPS

For each mem reference:

- I. extract **VPN** (virt page num) from **VA** (virt addr)
- 2. calculate addr of **PTE** (page table entry)
- 3. read **PTE** from memory
- 4. extract **PFN** (page frame num)
- 5. build PA (phys addr)
- 6. read contents of **PA** from memory



DISADVANTAGES OF PAGING

Additional memory reference to page table \rightarrow Very inefficient

- Page table must be stored in memory
- MMU stores only base address of page table

Storage for page tables may be substantial

Simple page table: Requires PTE for all pages in address space
 Entry needed even if page not allocated ?

STRATEGY: CACHE PAGE TRANSLATIONS



PAGING TRANSLATION STEPS

For each mem reference:

I. extract **VPN** (virt page num) from **VA** (virt addr) 2. check TLB for **VPN** if miss: 3. calculate addr of **PTE** (page table entry) 4. read **PTE** from memory, add to TLB 5. extract **PFN** from TLB (page frame num) 6. build **PA** (phys addr) 7. read contents of **PA** from memory



TLB SUMMARY

Pages are great, but accessing page tables for every memory access is slow Cache recent page translations \rightarrow TLB

- Hardware performs TLB lookup on every memory access
- TLB performance depends strongly on workload
 - Sequential workloads perform well
 - Workloads with temporal locality can perform well

In different systems, hardware or OS handles TLB misses

TLBs increase cost of context switches

- Flush TLB on every context switch
- Add ASID to every TLB entry

DISADVANTAGES OF PAGING

Additional memory reference to page table \rightarrow Very inefficient

- Page table must be stored in memory
- MMU stores only base address of page table

Storage for page tables may be substantial

Simple page table: Requires PTE for all pages in address space
 Entry needed even if page not allocated ?

SMALLER PAGE TABLES



WHY ARE PAGE TABLES SO LARGE?



MANY INVALID PT ENTRIES



AVOID SIMPLE LINEAR PAGE TABLES?

Use more complex page tables, instead of just big array

Any data structure is possible with software-managed TLB

- Hardware looks for vpn in TLB on every memory access
- If TLB does not contain vpn, TLB miss
 - Trap into OS and let OS find vpn->ppn translation
 - OS notifies TLB of vpn->ppn for future accesses

OTHER APPROACHES

- I. Segmented Pagetables
- 2. Multi-level Pagetables
 - Page the page tables
 - Page the pagetables of page tables...
- 3. Inverted Pagetables

VALID PTES ARE CONTIGUOUS



Note "hole" in addr space: valids vs. invalids are clustered

How did OS avoid allocating holes in phys memory?

Segmentation

COMBINE PAGING AND SEGMENTATION

Divide address space into segments (code, heap, stack)

Segments can be variable length
 Divide each segment into fixed-sized pages
 Logical address divided into three portions



seg # (4 bits) page number (8 bits) page offset (12 bits)

Implementation

- Each segment has a page table
- Each segment track base (physical address) and bounds of the page table



ADVANTAGES OF PAGING AND SEGMENTATION

Advantages of Segments

- Supports sparse address spaces.
- Decreases size of page tables. If segment not used, not need for page table

Advantages of Pages

- No external fragmentation
- Segments can grow without any reshuffling
- Can run process when some pages are swapped to disk (next lecture)

Advantages of Both

- Increases flexibility of sharing: Share either single page or entire segment

DISADVANTAGES OF PAGING AND SEGMENTATION

Potentially large page tables (for each segment)

- Must allocate each page table contiguously
- More problematic with more address bits
- Page table size?

Assume 2 bits for segment, 18 bits for page number, 12 bits for offset

Each page table is:

= Number of entries * size of each entry

= Number of pages * 4 bytes

= 2^18 * 4 bytes = 2^20 bytes = 1 MB!!!

OTHER APPROACHES

- I. Segmented Pagetables
- 2. Multi-level Pagetables
 - Page the page tables
 - Page the pagetables of page tables...
- 3. Inverted Pagetables

MULTILEVEL PAGE TABLES

Goal: Allow each page tables to be allocated non-contiguously

Idea: Page the page tables

- Creates multiple levels of page tables; outer level "page directory"
- Only allocate page tables for pages in use
- Used in x86 architectures (hardware can walk known structure)







20-bit address:

outer page(4 bits)inner page(4 bits)page offset (12 bits)

ADDRESS FORMAT FOR MULTILEVEL PAGING

30-bit address:

outer page	inner page	page offset (12 bits)	> lage

How should logical address be structured? How many bits for each paging level? Goal?

- Each page table fits within a page
- PTE size * number PTE = page size
 Assume PTE size = 4 bytes
 Page size = 2^12 bytes = 4KB

 \rightarrow # bits for selecting inner page = \bigcirc

Number a

Remaining bits for outer page:

-30 - 10 - 12 = 8 bits



Sill

PROBLEM WITH 2 LEVELS?

Problem: page directories (outer level) may not fit in a page



FULL SYSTEM WITH TLBS

On TLB miss: lookups with more levels more expensive

Assume 3-level page table Assume 256-byte pages Assume 16-bit addresses Assume ASID of current process is 211

ASID	VPN	PFN	Valid
211	0xbb	0x91	
211	0xff	0x23	
122	0×05	0x91	
211	0×05	0x12	0

How many physical accesses for each instruction? (Ignore ops changing TLB)

(a) 0xAA10: movl 0x1111, %edi

(b) 0xBB13: addl \$0x3, %edi

(c) 0x0519: movl %edi, 0xFF10

INVERTED PAGE TABLE

Only need entries for virtual pages w/ valid physical mappings

Naïve approach: Search through data structure <ppn, vpn+asid> to find match Too much time to search entire table

Better:

Find possible matches entries by hashing vpn+asid Smaller number of entries to search for exact match

Managing inverted page table requires software-controlled TLB

SUMMARY: BETTER PAGE TABLES

Problem: Simple linear page tables require too much contiguous memory

Many options for efficiently organizing page tables

If OS traps on TLB miss, OS can use any data structure

- Inverted page tables (hashing)

If Hardware handles TLB miss, page tables must follow specific format

- Multi-level page tables used in x86 architecture
- Each page table fits within a page

SWAPPING

MOTIVATION

OS goal: Support processes when not enough physical memory

- Single process with very large address space
- Multiple processes with combined address spaces

User code should be independent of amount of physical memory

- Correctness, if not performance

Virtual memory: OS provides illusion of more physical memory Why does this work?

Relies on key properties of user processes (workload) and machine architecture (hardware)



Virtual Memory





Virtual Memory



LOCALITY OF REFERENCE

Leverage locality of reference within processes

- Spatial: reference memory addresses **near** previously referenced addresses
- Temporal: reference memory addresses that have referenced in the past
- Processes spend majority of time in small portion of code
 - Estimate: 90% of time in 10% of code

Implication:

- Process only uses small amount of address space at any moment
- Only small amount of address space must be resident in physical memory

MEMORY HIERARCHY



SWAPPING INTUITION

Idea: OS keeps unreferenced pages on disk

- Slower, cheaper backing store than memory

Process can run when not all pages are loaded into main memory

OS and hardware cooperate to make large disk seem like memory

- Same behavior as if all of address space in main memory

Requirements:

- OS must have **mechanism** to identify location of each page in address space \rightarrow in memory or on disk
- OS must have **policy** for determining which pages live in memory and which on disk

VIRTUAL ADDRESS SPACE MECHANISMS

Each page in virtual address space maps to one of three locations:

- Physical main memory: Small, fast, expensive
- Disk (backing store): Large, slow, cheap
- Nothing (error): Free

Extend page tables with an extra bit: present

- permissions (r/w), valid, present
- Page in memory: present bit set in PTE
- Page on disk: present bit cleared
 - PTE points to block on disk
 - Causes trap into OS when page is referenced



PFN valid	prot	present
10 I	r-x	<u>'</u>
- 0	-	-
23 I	rw-	0
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	-
- 0	-	ō
	rw-	U I
4 I	rw-	

What if access vpn 0xb?

VIRTUAL MEMORY MECHANISMS

First, hardware checks TLB for virtual address

- if TLB hit, address translation is done; page in physical memory

Else

- Hardware or OS walk page tables

...

 If PTE designates page is present, then page in physical memory (i.e., present bit is cleared)

Else

- Trap into OS (not handled by hardware)
- OS selects victim page in memory to replace
 - Write victim page out to disk if modified (add dirty bit to PTE)
- OS reads referenced page from disk into memory
- Page table is updated, present bit is set
- Process continues execution

NEXT STEPS

Project 2a: Due Friday

Discussion section:

How to use gdb xv6 scheduler walk through

Next class: More Swapping!