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What about the 9 months of rain?

# Which UW? 

W
WASHINGTON

| Acronym | School | Great CS | Skiing | Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UW | U of WA | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| UW | U of WI | Yes | Yes | No |


| Acronym | School | Great CS | Skiing | Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UW | U of WA | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| UW | U of WI | Yes | Yes | No |

Should I accept the CS PhD offer from UW?

| Acronym | School | Great CS | Skiing | Rain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UW | U of WA | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| UW | U of WI | Yes | Yes | No |

Should I accept the CS PhD offer from UW?

## Primary key constraint (violated)

- Metadata of stackoverflow. com as of 02/2021 from Stack Exchange Data Dump
- 551M rows, $\sim 400 \mathrm{~GB}$

| Table | \# of rows | inconsistencyRatio | blockSize | \# of Attributes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Users | 14 M | $0 \%$ | 1 | 14 |
| Posts | 53 M | $0 \%$ | 1 | 20 |
| PostHistory | 141 M | $0.001 \%$ | 4 | 9 |
| Badges | 40 M | $0.58 \%$ | 941 | 4 |
| Votes | 213 M | $30.9 \%$ | 1441 | 6 |

inconsistencyRatio $=\#$ facts violating PK constraint / \# of rows
blockSize $=$ max. $\#$ facts with the same PK
(1) Consistent Query Answering for Primary Keys
(2) Results
(3) Techniques
(1) Consistent Query Answering for Primary Keys

## (2) Results

## Finding consistent answers

| Course <br> c_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| CS $\overline{787}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| $---\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{5}}-$ | Adam |
| Alice |  |
| $\overline{\text { Bob }} \overline{-}$ |  |

SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty
WHERE Course.f_id
= CS_Faculty.f_id
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| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| CS $\overline{787}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Adam |
| $---\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{5}}$ | -- |
|  | Alice |
| Bob |  |
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| Course <br> c_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| CS $\overline{787}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |
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## Finding consistent answers

| Course <br> C_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| CS $78 \overline{7}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Adam |
| $---\frac{2}{5}---$ | $-\overline{\text { Alice }} \overline{\text { Bob }}--$ |

SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty
WHERE Course.f_id

$$
Q(\mathbf{d b})=\{\text { CS } 703, \text { CS } 787\} \ldots
$$

Data cleaning

$$
Q(\text { rep })
$$

## Finding consistent answers

| Course <br> C_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\bar{S} 78 \overline{7}$ | -3 |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Adam |
| $---\frac{2}{5}--$ | - Alice $^{\text {Bob }}-$ |

SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty WHERE Course.f_id
= CS_Faculty.f_id
$Q(\mathbf{d b})=\{$ CS 703, CS 787 $\} \ldots$
Data cleaning
$2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 1$ repairs
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## Finding consistent answers

| Course <br> C_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\bar{S} 78 \overline{7}$ | -3 |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Adam |
| $---\frac{2}{5}---$ | $-\overline{\text { Alice }} \overline{\text { Bob }}--$ |

SELECT DISTINCT c_id
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## Finding consistent answers

| Course <br> C_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\bar{S} 78 \overline{7}$ | -3 |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Adam |
| $---\frac{2}{5}---$ | $-\overline{\text { Alice }} \overline{\text { Bob }}--$ |

SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty WHERE Course.f_id
= CS_Faculty.f_id
$Q(\mathbf{d b})=\{\mathrm{CS} 703, \mathrm{CS} 787\} \ldots$
Data cleaning
$2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 1$ repairs

Which answers are guaranteed to be returned on all repairs?

$$
\bigcap \quad Q(\text { rep })=\{\text { cs 703 }\}
$$

rep is a repair of $\mathbf{d b}$

## Finding consistent answers

| Course <br> C_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\bar{S} 78 \overline{7}$ | 3 |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Adam |
| $---\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{5}}--$ | - Alice $_{\text {Bob }}-$ |

SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty WHERE Course.f_id
= CS_Faculty.f_id
$Q(\mathbf{d b})=\{\mathrm{CS} 703, \mathrm{CS} 787\} \ldots$
Data cleaning
$2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 1$ repairs

Which answers are guaranteed to be returned on all repairs?

$$
\bigcap Q(\text { rep })=\{\mathbf{C S} 703\}
$$

rep is a repair of $\mathbf{d b}$
Consistent Answer

## Finding consistent answers without enumeration

| Course <br> c_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\overline{787}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| $---\frac{2}{5}---$ | Adam |
| Alice $\overline{\text { Bob }}--$ |  |

```
SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty
WHERE Course.f_id
    = CS_Faculty.f_id
```
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## Finding consistent answers without enumeration

| Course <br> c_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\overline{787}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Adam |
| $---\frac{2}{5}---$ | Alice |
| Bob $\overline{-}$ |  |

```
SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty
WHERE Course.f_id
    = CS_Faculty.f_id AND
    (all f_id's for the same c_id
    appear in CS_Faculty)
```

$Q^{\prime}(\mathbf{d b})=\bigcap_{\text {rep is a repair of } \mathbf{d b}} Q($ rep $)$
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## Finding consistent answers without enumeration

| Course <br> c_id | f_id |
| :---: | :---: |
| CS 703 | 2 |
| CS 703 | 5 |
| C $\overline{787}$ | - |
| CS 787 | 5 |


| CS_Faculty <br> f_id | f_name |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Adam |
| $---\frac{2}{5}--$ | - |
| Alice $\overline{o b}--$ |  |

```
SELECT DISTINCT c_id
FROM Course, CS_Faculty
WHERE Course.f_id
    = CS_Faculty.f_id AND
    (all f_id's for the same c_id
    appear in CS_Faculty)
```

$Q^{\prime}(\mathbf{d b})=\bigcap_{\text {rep is a repair of } \mathbf{d b}} Q($ rep $)$

The original query $Q$ has a first-order rewriting $Q^{\prime}$

For which $Q$ can the consistent answers be found efficiently?

## Can we build a system to find the consistent answers?

## For which $Q$ can the consistent answers be found efficiently?

Can we build a system to find the consistent answers?
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## Acyclic query evaluation

$$
q():- \text { Course }(\underline{x}, y), \text { CS_Faculty }(\underline{y}, z)
$$
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## Acyclic query evaluation

$$
q():-\operatorname{Course}(\underline{x}, y), \text { CS_Faculty }(\underline{y}, z)
$$



## Yannakakis [VLDB'81]

The answer to every Boolean acyclic query can be computed in $O(|\mathbf{d b}|)$.
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## Yannakakis [VLDB'81] Our result

consistent answer

The answer to every Boolean acyclic query can be computed in $O(|\mathbf{d b}|)$. with a pair-pruning join tree (PPJT)
non-Boolean $\leq_{T}^{P}$ Boolean

## PPJT is a wide class

$+\subset$ Selection, Projection, Join queries

+ star/snowflake schema (e.g. TPC-H, TPC-DS)
+ two distinct table join
+ Every acyclic query in $\mathcal{C}_{\text {forest }}$ [ICDT'05, SIGMOD'05] has a PPJT no self-joins! [PODS'18,20,22] [PODS'21]
no aggregation (yet) [ICDE, 2022] [ICDT, 2022] no cyclic primary keys joins no non-key to non-key joins


## PPJT is a wide class

$+\subset$ Selection, Projection, Join queries

+ star/snowflake schema (e.g. TPC-H, TPC-DS)
+ two distinct table join
+ Every acyclic query in $\mathcal{C}_{\text {forest }}$ [ICDT'05, SIGMOD'05] has a PPJT
- no self-joins! [PODS'18,20,22] [PODS'21]
- no aggregation (yet) [ICDE, 2022] [ICDT, 2022]
- no cyclic primary keys joins

$$
q():-R(\underline{x}, y), S(\underline{y}, x)
$$

- no non-key to non-key joins

$$
q():-R(\underline{x}, z), S(\underline{y}, z)
$$

## SELECT

DISTINCT Posts.Id, Posts.Title FROM

Posts, PostHistory, Votes, Comments WHERE

Posts.Tags LIKE "\%SQL\%"
AND Posts.id = PostHistory.PostId
AND Posts.id = Comments.PostId
AND Posts.id = Votes.PostId
AND Votes.BountyAmount > 100
AND PostHistory.PostHistoryTypeId = 2
AND Comments.score = 0

```
WITH candidates AS (
    sexect
    DISTinct C.UserId, C.creationCate, P.Id, P,Title
    FfRN
    Were
    $ LTKE "KSQLX"
    wD P.id = PH.PostId
    ND P.1d = C.PostId
    ND P.id = v,PostId
    NDD.BCountynmount > 100
    NDDPH.PostHistoryTypeld =2
    AND C.score =0
),
zkey as (
    select P.Id
    FFRON Pasts P
    were P.Tags not like "asqux" of P.tags is mull
    union all
    select Id
    SELECT distinct Id, Title
    FRCH Posts
)t
group ar Id
HWVING count(*)>1
).
Posts_good_join AS (
    serect P.Id, P.Title
    Frow Posts P
    were not Exits (
    sElect *
    FHon Pasts_bad_key
    MEERE P.Id = Posts_bad_key.Id
,'
Posthistory_bod_key NS (
    selECT PH.PostId, PH.CreationOate, PH-UserId,
        PH., PosthistoryTypeId
    FROM Posthistory &1
    WERE PH.PosthistoryTypeld &%
).
PostHistory_good_join 25 (
    SelECT PH,PostId
    FRON Posthistory PH
    were not exists (
    select *
    PCH Postlistory, bad_key
    NHERE PH.PostId = Posthistory_Lod_key.PostId aNO
    FH.CreationDate = PastHistory_bad_key.Creatiomate
        NNO
        PH.PostHistoryTypel
        = FostHistory_bad_key.FostH1storyTypeId
,'
Votes_bad_key As (
    seLecT V.PostId, V.userId, V.creation@ate
    FFON votes v
    NHERE v, BountyAmomes <= 1ee or v, Bountyhmount is mull
).
votes_good_join AS (
    SElect v.PostId
    SELECT V.Postid
    mFEE= not ExisT
    select *
    FROM Yotes_bad_key
    MHEPE
    V.PostId = Votes_bad_key.PostId NO
    V.UserId = Votes_bad_key.UserId mDD
    V.CreationDate = votes_Lad_key. CreationDate
,
),
Coments_bad_key AS C
    SELECT C.CreatiorDate, C.UserId, condidates.Title
    frow Corments [
    JozN candidates ON
    C.CreationDate = candidates.CreationDate
    C.Creationsate (aldaces.ereat
    MERE c.score ose
    IntoN all
    selecT C.Creationdate, c.userld, candidates.Title
    Frow Consents C
    JONN candidates ow
    C.CreationDate = candidates.CreationDate
    AND C.UserId = candidatos UserId)
    EFT OUTER JOIN Posts_geod_join ON
    c.PostId = Posts__good,join.Id
    AND candidates.Title = Posts_good_join.Title)
    EFT OUTER JOTN Posthistory_good_join on
    EFT OUTER JOIN PostHistory_good_join on (
    EFH OUTER JOIN Yotes_gocd_join ON S
    C.Postrd = Votes_gocd_join. PastId 
    HES
    Posts_good_join.1d IS NML
        R Posthistory_good_join.PostId IS NUL
        OR Votes_good_join. PostId IS NULL
    OR Posts_goco_join. Title is mulL
).,
monent__god_-join AS (
SELECT candidates.1d, candidates.Title
```

```
WItH candilates AS C
    sexect
    DISTINCT C.UserId, C.CreationDate, P.Id, P.Title
    FRON
    FPosts P, PostHistory PH,Votes Y, Corrents C
    were
    P.Toes LIKE "XSQLX"
    N0 P.id = PH.PostId
    ND P.1d = c.postid
    ND P.id = v,PostId
    ND V.Bountymmunt > 100
    ND. PH.PosthistoryTypeld =2
    AsD C.score =0
),
Posts_bad_key 45 (
    SELECT P.Id
    were P.Tags not like "asqua. of P.Tags is null
    union all
    selzct It
    SELECT distinct Id, Tit)
    FHOM Posts
    )t
    )t
    HHVING count(t)>1
).
Posts_good_join AS (
    se.ect P.Id, P.Title
    FROW Posts P
    WERE Not EXISTS (
    SELECT *
    Fmon Posts_bad_key
    MHERE P.Id = Posts_bad_key.Id
,
PostHistory_bod_key NS (
    SELECT PH.PostId, PH.CreationOate, PM.USerId,
        PH. PosthistoryTypeId
    From Posthistory M1
    WERE PH.PosthistorgTypeId &2
).
PostHistory_good_join A5 (
    Select Ph.postId
    FRON Posthistory PH
uere not Exists (
    SElECT *
    FROM Postlistory_bad_key
    NHERE PH.PostId = Posthistory_Lod_key.PostId aND
        FF.CreationDate = Pasthistory_bad_key.CreaticoDate
            AND
```

Original query + primary key info $\xrightarrow{\text { LinCQA }}$ Query rewriting

## Setup \& Baselines

| System | Target class | Interm. output | Backend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAvSAT | $*$ | SAT formula | SQL Server \& MaxHS |
| Conquer | $\mathcal{C}_{\text {forest }}$ | SQL | SQL Server |
| Improved Conquesto | SJF FO | SQL | SQL Server |
| LinCQA | PPJT | SQL | SQL Server |

## CleudLab

## Stackoverflow data

- Metadata of stackoverflow.com as of 02/2021 from Stack Exchange Data Dump
- 551M rows, 400 GB

| Table | \# of rows | inconsistencyRatio | blockSize | \# of Attributes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Users | 14 M | $0 \%$ | 1 | 14 |
| Posts | 53 M | $0 \%$ | 1 | 20 |
| PostHistory | 141 M | $0.001 \%$ | 4 | 9 |
| Badges | 40 M | $0.58 \%$ | 941 | 4 |
| Votes | 213 M | $30.9 \%$ | 1441 | 6 |

## Experiments on Stackoverflow

$Q_{1}:$ Posts $\bowtie$ Votes $\quad Q_{2}:$ Users $\bowtie$ Badges $\quad Q_{3}:$ Users $\bowtie$ Posts
$Q_{4}$ : Users $\bowtie$ Posts $\bowtie$ Comments
$Q_{5}$ : Posts $\bowtie$ PostHistory $\bowtie$ Votes $\bowtie$ Comments
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## From PPJT to FO-rewriting

Remove a primary key if some tuple with this primary key is "bad"

Course $(\underline{x}, y)$


Faculty $(\underline{y}, z, " D B ")$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Child}_{\text {join }}(\vec{\alpha}):-\operatorname{Child}(\underline{\vec{u}}, \vec{v}), \neg \text { Child }_{f k e y}(\vec{u}) \\
& \operatorname{Faculty}_{\text {join }}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}^{(y, z, w), \neg \text { Faculty }_{f k e y}(y)} \\
& \operatorname{Faculty}_{f k e y}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}(y, z, w), w \neq " \mathrm{DB}^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## From PPJT to FO-rewriting

Remove a primary key if some tuple with this primary key is "bad"

Course $(\underline{x}, y)$


Faculty $(\underline{y}, z$, "DB" $)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Child}_{\text {join }}(\vec{\alpha}):-\operatorname{Child}(\underline{\vec{u}}, \vec{v}), \neg \operatorname{Child}_{f k e y}(\vec{u}) \\
& \operatorname{Faculty}_{j o i n}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}^{(y, z, w)}, \neg \text { Faculty }_{f k e y}(y) \\
& \text { Faculty }_{f k e y}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}(y, z, w), w \neq \text { "DB" }^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## From PPJT to FO-rewriting

Remove a primary key if some tuple with this primary key is "bad"

Course $(\underline{x}, y)$


Faculty $(\underline{y}, z, " D B ")$


$$
\text { Child } \left._{\text {join }}(\vec{\alpha}):-\operatorname{Child}^{(\vec{\rightharpoonup}}, \vec{v}\right), \neg \operatorname{Child}_{f k e y}(\vec{u})
$$

$$
\operatorname{Faculty}_{j o i n}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}^{(y, z, w), \neg \operatorname{Faculty}_{f k e y}(y) .}
$$

$$
\text { Faculty }_{f k e y}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}(y, z, w), w \neq \text { "DB" }
$$

also expressible in SQL!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Course }_{\text {join }}() \text { :- Course }(x, y), \neg \text { Course }_{\text {fkey }}(x) \\
& \text { Course }_{f k e y}(x):- \text { Course }^{(x, y)}, \neg \text { Faculty }_{\text {join }}(y) \\
& \forall \text { Child }: \operatorname{Root}_{\text {fkey }}(\vec{x}):-\operatorname{Root}(\overrightarrow{\underline{x}}, \vec{y}), \neg \operatorname{Child}_{j o i n}(\vec{\alpha})
\end{aligned}
$$

## From PPJT to FO-rewriting

Remove a primary key if some tuple with this primary key is "bad"

Course ( $\underline{x}, y$ )


Faculty $(\underline{y}, z, " D B ")$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Child}_{\text {join }}(\vec{\alpha}):-\operatorname{Child}(\underline{\vec{\rightharpoonup}}, \vec{v}), \neg \text { Child }_{f k e y}(\vec{u}) \\
& \operatorname{Faculty}_{\text {join }}(y):-{\operatorname{Faculty}(y, z, w), \neg \operatorname{Faculty}_{f k e y}(y)}_{\text {Faculty }_{f k e y}(y):-\operatorname{Faculty}(y, z, w), w \neq{ }^{\prime 2} \mathrm{DB}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## From Boolean to non-Boolean

SELECT DISTINCT A1, A2 FROM T WHERE A3 $=42$
Step 1 Evaluate directly

| A 1 | A 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | b |
| x | y |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |

Step 2 Reduce to Boolean (using PPJT)
SELECT DISTINCT 1 FROM $T$ WHERE A3 $=42$ AND A1 $=\mathrm{a}$ AND A2 $=\mathrm{b}$
if yes, then output $(a, b)$, otherwise continue
SELECT DISTINCT 1 FROM $T$ WHERE A3 $=42$ AND A1 $=\mathrm{x}$ AND A2 $=\mathrm{y}$

## From Boolean to non-Boolean

SELECT DISTINCT A1, A2 FROM T WHERE A3 $=42$
Step 1 Evaluate directly

| A 1 | A 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | b |
| x | y |
| $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |

Step 2 Reduce to Boolean (using PPJT)
SELECT DISTINCT 1 FROM $T$ WHERE A3 $=42$ AND A1 $=\mathrm{a}$ AND A2 $=\mathrm{b}$
if yes, then output $(a, b)$, otherwise continue
SELECT DISTINCT 1 FROM $T$ WHERE A3 $=42$ AND A1 $=\mathrm{x}$ AND A2 $=\mathrm{y}$

$$
\xrightarrow{\text { LinCQA }} \text { a single SQL/Datalog query }
$$

## Concluding remarks

| Acyclic $q$ | PPJT | Yannakakis [VLDB'81] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Boolean $q$ | $O(N)$ | $O(N)$ |
| non-Boolean $q$ | $O\left(N \cdot\left\|\mathrm{OUT}_{\text {inconsistent }}\right\|\right)$ | $O(N \cdot\|\mathrm{OUT}\|)$ |
| full $q($ SELECT $*)$ | $O\left(N+\left\|\mathrm{OUT}_{\text {consistent }}\right\|\right)$ | $O(N+\|\mathrm{OUT}\|)$ |
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Thank you!
Xiating Ouyang xouyang@cs.wisc.edu

