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Some of the slides in these lectures have been adapted/borrowed from materials developed by Mark Craven, David Page, Jude Shavlik, Tom Mitchell, Nina Balcan, Matt Gormley, Elad Hazan, Tom Dietterich, and Pedro Domingos.
Goals for the lecture

you should understand the following concepts

• logistic regression
• the relationship between logistic regression and naïve Bayes
• the relationship between discriminative and generative learning
• when discriminative/generative is likely to learn more accurate models
What is logistic regression?

- the same as a single layer neural net with a sigmoid in which the weights are trained to minimize

\[ E(w) = - \sum_{d \in D} \ln P(y^{(d)} | x^{(d)}) \]

\[ = \sum_{d \in D} -y^{(d)} \ln(o^{(d)}) - (1 - y^{(d)}) \ln(1 - o^{(d)}) \]

- the name is a misnomer since LR is used for classification
Naïve Bayes and logistic regression

What’s the difference?

• direction of the arrows?
• whether feature/variable names are inside the ovals or outside?
• sigmoid function?
• something else?
Naïve Bayes revisited

consider naïve Bayes for a binary classification task

\[ P(Y = 1 | x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 1)}{P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} \]

expanding denominator

\[ P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 1) = P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 1) + P(Y = 0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 0) \]

dividing everything by numerator

\[ = \frac{1}{P(Y = 0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 0)} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 1)}{P(Y = 0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | Y = 0)}} \]
Naïve Bayes revisited

\[ P(Y = 1 \mid x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{P(Y = 0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i \mid Y = 0) + 1 + \frac{P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i \mid Y = 1)}{P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i \mid Y = 1)}} \]

applying \( \exp(\ln(a)) = a \)

applying \( \ln(a/b) = -\ln(b/a) \)
Naïve Bayes revisited

\[
P(Y = 1 \mid x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\ln\left(\frac{P(Y = 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i \mid Y = 1)}{P(Y = 0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i \mid Y = 0)}\right)\right)}
\]

converting log of products to sum of logs

\[
P(Y = 1 \mid x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\ln\left(\frac{P(Y = 1)}{P(Y = 0)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln\left(\frac{P(x_i \mid Y = 1)}{P(x_i \mid Y = 0)}\right)\right)\right)}
\]

Does this look familiar?
Naïve Bayes vs. logistic regression

naïve Bayes

\[
P(Y = 1 | x_1, ..., x_n) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\ln\left(\frac{P(Y = 1)}{P(Y = 0)}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln\left(\frac{P(x_i | Y = 1)}{P(x_i | Y = 0)}\right)\right)}
\]

logistic regression

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\left(w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i\right)\right)}
\]
Naïve Bayes as a neural net

\[
\ln \left( \frac{P(Y = 1)}{P(Y = 0)} \right)
\]

\[
\ln \left( \frac{P(red \mid Y = 1)}{P(red \mid Y = 0)} \right)
\]

\[
\ln \left( \frac{P(blue \mid Y = 1)}{P(blue \mid Y = 0)} \right)
\]

weights correspond to log ratios
Naïve Bayes vs. logistic regression

- they have the same functional form, and thus have the same hypothesis space bias (recall our discussion of inductive bias)

- Do they learn the same models?

  In general, **no**. They use different methods to estimate the model parameters.

  Naïve Bayes is a generative approach, whereas LR is a discriminative one.
Generative vs. discriminative learning

**Generative approach**

learning: estimate $P(Y)$ and $P(X_1, ..., X_n \mid Y)$

classification: use Bayes’ Rule to compute $P(Y \mid X_1, ..., X_n)$

**Discriminative approach**

learn $P(Y \mid X_1, ..., X_n)$ directly
Naïve Bayes vs. logistic regression

asymptotic comparison (# training instances $\rightarrow \infty$)
  • when conditional independence assumptions made by NB are correct, NB and LR produce identical classifiers

when conditional independence assumptions are incorrect
  • logistic regression is less biased; learned weights may be able to compensate for incorrect assumptions (e.g. what if we have two redundant but relevant features)
  • therefore LR expected to outperform NB when given lots of training data
Naïve Bayes vs. logistic regression

non-asymptotic analysis [Ng & Jordan, *NIPS* 2001]

• consider convergence of parameter estimates; how many training instances are needed to get good estimates

  naïve Bayes: $O(\log n)$

  logistic regression: $O(n)$  \hspace{1cm} \( n = \# \text{ features} \)

• naïve Bayes converges more quickly to its (perhaps less accurate) asymptotic estimates

• therefore NB expected to outperform LR with small training sets
Experimental comparison of NB and LR

- logistic regression
- naïve Bayes

Ng and Jordan compared learning curves for the two approaches on 15 data sets (some w/discrete features, some w/continuous features)
Experimental comparison of NB and LR

--- logistic regression

--- naïve Bayes

general trend supports theory

- NB has lower predictive error when training sets are small
- the error of LR approaches or is lower than NB when training sets are large
Discussion

- NB/LR is one case of a pair of generative/discriminative approaches for the same model class
- if modeling assumptions are valid (e.g. conditional independence of features in NB) the two will produce identical classifiers in the limit (\(\#\) training instances \(\rightarrow \infty\))
- if modeling assumptions are not valid, the discriminative approach is likely to be more accurate for large training sets
- for small training sets, the generative approach is likely to be more accurate because parameters converge to their asymptotic values more quickly (in terms of training set size)
- Q: How can we tell whether our training set size is more appropriate for a generative or discriminative method? A: Empirically compare the two.