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## Goals for the lecture

you should understand the following concepts

- dimension reduction
- principal component analysis: definition and formulation
- two interpretations
- strength and weakness



## Big \& High-Dimensional Data

- High-Dimensions = Lot of Features


## Document classification

Features per document = thousands of words/unigrams millions of bigrams, contextual information

Surveys - Netflix
480189 users x 17770 movies

|  | movie 1 | movie 2 | movie 3 | movie 4 | movie 5 | movie 6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tom | 5 | $?$ | $?$ | 1 | 3 | $?$ |
| George | $?$ | $?$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Susan | 4 | 3 | 1 | $?$ | 5 | 1 |
| Beth | 4 | 3 | $?$ | 2 | 4 | 2 |

## Big \& High-Dimensional Data

- High-Dimensions = Lot of Features

MEG Brain Imaging
120 locations x 500 time points
x 20 objects


Or any high-dimensional image data


- Big \& High-Dimensional Data.

Useful to learn lower dimensional representations of the data.

## Learning Representations

PCA, Kernel PCA, ICA: Powerful unsupervised learning techniques for extracting hidden (potentially lower dimensional) structure from high dimensional datasets.

## Useful for:

- Visualization
- More efficient use of resources (e.g., time, memory, communication)
- Statistical: fewer dimensions $\rightarrow$ better generalization
- Noise removal (improving data quality)
- Further processing by machine learning algorithms


## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

What is PCA: Unsupervised technique for extracting variance structure from high dimensional datasets.

- PCA is an orthogonal projection or transformation of the data into a (possibly lower dimensional) subspace so that the variance of the projected data is maximized.


## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Intrinsically lower dimensional than the dimension of the ambient space.


Only one relevant feature

If we rotate data, again only one coordinate is more important.


Both features are relevant

Question: Can we transform the features so that we only need to preserve one latent feature?

## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)



In case where data lies on or near a low d-dimensional linear subspace, axes of this subspace are an effective representation of the data.

Identifying the axes is known as Principal Components Analysis, and can be obtained by using classic matrix computation tools (Eigen or Singular Value Decomposition).


## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Components (PC) are orthogonal directions that capture most of the variance in the data.

- First PC - direction of greatest variability in data.
- Projection of data points along first PC discriminates data most along any one direction (pts are the most spread out when we project the data on that direction compared to any other directions).



## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Components (PC) are orthogonal directions that capture most of the variance in the data.

Quick reminder:
$\|v\|=1$, Point $x_{i}$ (D-dimensional vector)
Projection of $x_{i}$ onto $v$ is $v \cdot x_{i}$


Let $\mu$ be the mean of data points, then PCA for the first PC is: $\max \sum_{i}\left(\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{v} \cdot \mu\right)^{2}$ s.t. $\|\mathrm{v}\|=1$

Usually, we first centralize the data points by subtracting their mean, then $\mu=0$, and the optimization is simplified to:
$\max \sum_{i}\left(\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$ s.t. $\|\mathrm{v}\|=1$
Let $\mathrm{X}=\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ (columns are the datapoints). Then:
$\max \mathrm{v}^{t} X X^{t} \mathrm{v}$ s.t. $\|\mathrm{v}\|=1$

## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

## Principal Components (PC) are orthogonal directions that capture most of the variance in the data.

- $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{PC}$ - direction of greatest variability in data.

- $2^{\text {nd }} P \mathrm{PC}-$ Next orthogonal (uncorrelated) direction of greatest variability
(remove all variability in first direction, then find next direction of greatest variability)
- And so on ...


## Two Interpretations

0

## Two Interpretations

Consider only the first component.
Maximum Variance Direction: $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{PC}$ is a direction v such that projection on to this direction has maximum variance. Assume data mean=0:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v}
$$

Minimum Reconstruction Error: $1^{\text {st }}$ PC is a direction v such that projection on to this direction yields minimum MSE reconstruction

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\left(\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \mathbf{v}\right\|^{2}
$$



## Why? Pythagorean Theorem

E.g., for the first component.

Maximum Variance Direction: $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{PC}$ is a direction v such that projection on to this direction has maximum variance

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\left(\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right) \mathbf{v}\right\|^{2}
$$

Minimum Reconstruction Error: $1^{\text {st }}$ PC is a direction v such that projection on to this direction yields minimum MSE reconstruction

$$
\text { blue }^{2}+\text { green }^{2}=\text { black }^{2}
$$

black $^{2}$ is fixed (it's just the data)
So, maximizing blue ${ }^{2}$ is equivalent to minimizing green ${ }^{2}$



## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Let $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}}$ denote the d principal components.

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}=0, \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j} \text { and } \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=1,
$$

Assume data is centered (we extracted the sample mean).
Let $\mathrm{X}=\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ (columns are the datapoints)

First PC: find vector that maximizes sample variance of projected data

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{i}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v}
$$

$\max _{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v} \quad$ s.t. $\quad \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{v}=1$
Lagrangian: $\max _{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v}-\lambda \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{v}$
Wrap constraints into the objective function

$$
\partial / \partial \mathbf{v}=0 \quad\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}-\lambda \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{v}=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}\right) \mathbf{v}=\lambda \mathbf{v}
$$

## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

$\left(X X^{T}\right) v=\lambda v$, so $v$ (the first PC) is the eigenvector of sample correlation/covariance matrix $X X^{T}$

The variance of projection $\mathrm{v}^{T} X X^{T} \mathrm{v}=\lambda \mathrm{v}^{T} \mathrm{v}=\lambda$
Thus, the eigenvalue $\lambda$ denotes the amount of variability
 captured along that dimension (aka amount of energy along that dimension).

Eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{3} \geq \cdots$

- The $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{PC} v_{1}$ is the first eigenvector of the sample covariance matrix $X X^{T}$ associated with the largest eigenvalue. The variance of the projected data on it is just the largest eigenvalue.
- The 2nd PC $v_{2}$ is the second eigenvector of the sample covariance matrix $X X^{T}$ associated with the second largest eigenvalue. The variance of the projected data on it is just the second largest eigenvalue.
- And so on ...


## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- So, the new axes (the PCs) are the eigenvectors of the matrix of sample correlations $X X^{T}$ of the centralized data.

- Geometrically: centering followed by rotation (all are linear transformation)

Key computation: eigendecomposition of $X X^{T}$ (closely related to SVD of $X$ ).

## Dimensionality Reduction using PCA

The eigenvalue $\lambda$ denotes the amount of variability captured along that dimension (aka amount of energy along that dimension).

Zero eigenvalues indicate no variability along those directions => data lies exactly on a linear subspace

Only keep data projections onto top principal components, say $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{d}}$

## Original representation

Data point

$$
x_{i}=\left(x_{i}^{1}, \ldots, x_{i}^{D}\right)
$$

D-dimensional vector

## Transformed representation

projection

$$
\left(v_{1} \cdot x_{i}, \ldots, v_{d} \cdot x_{i}\right)
$$

d-dimensional vector

## Application Examples

## Dimension Reduction using PCA

In high-dimensional problems, data sometimes lies near a linear subspace, as noise introduces small variability

Only keep data projections onto principal components with large eigenvalues

Can ignore the components of smaller significance.


Might lose some info, but if eigenvalues are small, do not lose much

## Example: faces



Eigenfaces from 7562 images:
top left image is linear combination of rest.

Sirovich \& Kirby (1987)
Turk \& Pentland (1991)

Can represent a face image using just 15 numbers!

## PCA Discussion

## Strengths

Eigenvector method
No tuning of the parameters
No local optima
Weaknesses

Limited to second order statistics
Limited to linear projections
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