CS 839: Theoretical Foundations of Deep Learning		Spring 2022
Lecture 4 Approximation II		
Instructor: Yingyu Liang	Date: Feb $3^{rd}$ , 2022	Scriber: Zhenmei Shi

## 1 Overview

In the previous lecture, we showed how 3-layer-neural-networks with ReLU activation function can approximate high-dimension Lipschitz function family with small approximation error. In this lecture, we will shift our attention to universal approximation.

## 2 Universal Approximation

**Definition 1** (Universal Approximation). For a class of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  and a compact set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , if for every continuous function g on S and for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $||f - g||_{\infty} := \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S} |f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})| \leq \epsilon$ . Then, the class of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  is a universal approximator of all continuous functions on S.

The following theorem characterizes the universal approximator.

**Theorem 2** (Stone-Weierstrauss Theorem (limited version)). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of functions defined on a compact set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies:

- 1. Each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is continuous.
- 2. For every **x**, there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ .
- 3. For every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$  with  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}'$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq f(\mathbf{x}')$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  separates points).
- 4.  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under multiplication  $(\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ we have } h \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } h(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x}))$  and vector space operations ( $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra).

Then, for every continuous function  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $||f - g||_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a universal approximator.

**Remark 3.** It is easy to see that Conditions 2 and 3 are necessary. If remove Condition 2, there exist  $\mathbf{x}$  such that  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ . Then we could not approximate functions g with  $g(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ . If remove Condition 3, there exist  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$ , with  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}'$ , so that  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}')$ . Then we could not approximate functions g with  $g(\mathbf{x}) \neq g(\mathbf{x}')$  since  $\|f - g\|_{\infty} \ge \max\{|f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})|, |f(\mathbf{x}') - g(\mathbf{x}')|\} > 0$ .

We now discuss universal approximation with infinitely wide neural networks with a single hidden layer, beginning with some preliminaries. Consider the following definition for

1-hidden layer neural network function classes with nonlinear activation  $\sigma$ , input dimensionality d, and hidden layer width m.

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,d,m} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{a}\sigma(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{b}), \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}, \mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \}.$$

We then define the infinitely wide class of one hidden layer neural networks as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,d} = \bigcup_{m \ge 0} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma,d,m}.$$

Now, we prove  $\mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$  are two universal approximators, by checking the Stone-Weierstrass conditions.

**Example 4.** Prove  $\mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$  is a universal approximator.

Proof. We need to verify the four conditions of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

- 1. Each  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$  is continuous.
- 2.  $\forall \mathbf{x}, f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{z}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{z}) \neq 0 \text{ at } \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}.$
- 3. For every  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'$  with  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}'$ , consider the linear function h:

$$h(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})^{\top} (\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2}.$$

Then  $h(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  and  $h(\mathbf{x}') = 1$ . Now let

$$f(\mathbf{z}) = \exp(h(\mathbf{z})) = \exp\left(\frac{(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})^{\top}(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})}{\|\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2}\right).$$

Thus,  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \neq e = f(\mathbf{x}')$ .

4.  $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ suppose } f(\mathbf{x}) = a_f \sigma(\mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_f), g(\mathbf{x}) = a_g \sigma(\mathbf{W}_g \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_g).$ (i) We have  $\alpha f \in \mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$ . (ii)

$$f + g = [a_f, a_g]\sigma\left(\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{W}_f\\\mathbf{W}_g\end{bmatrix}\mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{b}_f\\\mathbf{b}_g\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Thus,  $f + g \in \mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$ . (iii)

$$f \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_f} a_{fi} \exp(\langle \mathbf{W}_{fi}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{fi})\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_g} a_{gj} \exp(\langle \mathbf{W}_{gj}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{gj})\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_f} \sum_{j=1}^{m_g} a_{fi} a_{gj} \exp(\langle \mathbf{W}_{fi} + \mathbf{W}_{gj}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{fi} + \mathbf{b}_{gj})\right).$$

Thus,  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$ .

Based on the above four conditions, as a result of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem,  $\mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$  is a universal approximator.

**Example 5.** Prove  $\mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$  is a universal approximator. In particular, the cosine function has the helpful property  $2\cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) = \cos(\alpha + \beta) + \cos(\alpha - \beta)$ . This allows for multiplicative closure of elements in  $\mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$ : by multiplying two neural networks together, we obtain a third neural network, which implies that  $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}, f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$ .

*Proof.* We only prove multiplicative closure for  $\mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$ . The proof of all other conditions is similar in Example 4.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}, \text{ suppose } f(\mathbf{x}) &= a_f \sigma(\mathbf{W}_f \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_f), g(\mathbf{x}) = a_g \sigma(\mathbf{W}_g \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}_g), \\ f \cdot g(\mathbf{x}) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_f} a_{fi} \cos(\langle \mathbf{W}_{fi}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{fi})\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m_g} a_{gj} \cos(\langle \mathbf{W}_{gj}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{gj})\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_f} \sum_{j=1}^{m_g} a_{fi} a_{gj} \frac{1}{2} \left(\cos(\langle \mathbf{W}_{fi} + \mathbf{W}_{gj}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{fi} + \mathbf{b}_{gj}) + \cos(\langle \mathbf{W}_{fi} - \mathbf{W}_{gj}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \mathbf{b}_{fi} - \mathbf{b}_{gj})\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f \cdot g \in \mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$ .

For arbitrary activation functions, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 6** (Hornik, Stinchcombe, and White 1989). Suppose  $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and satisfies

$$\lim_{z \to -\infty} \sigma(z) = 0, \lim_{z \to +\infty} \sigma(z) = 1.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,d}$  is a universal approximator.

This theorem provides us with a useful tool to prove a function class with arbitrary activation to be universal, not directly via the Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

Since its proof is part of the homework, we skip the proof here. A sketch of the proof could be: Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and continuous g, pick  $h \in \mathcal{F}_{\cos,d}$  (or,  $\mathcal{F}_{\exp,d}$ ) with  $\sup_{\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^d} h(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x}) \leq \epsilon/2$ . To finish, replace all appearances of  $\cos$  with an element of  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma,1}$ .

**Remark 7.** Note that  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ReLU},d}$  is also a universal approximator based on Theorem 6. In particular, we can build an intermediate activation  $\sigma_1(z) = \text{ReLU}(z) - \text{ReLU}(z-1)$ , which satisfies the conditions of the above theorem. By  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma_1,d} \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{ReLU},d}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ReLU},d}$  is a universal approximator.

## 3 Infinite-width Networks

In the next section of this lecture, we introduced how to represent the target function as an infinite-width network via Fourier inversion. Before that, we first provide a definition for integral representation of infinite-width networks and then take a brief review of the Fourier transform.

**Definition 8.** An infinite-width shallow network is characterized by a signed measure  $\nu$  (can be negative) over weight vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^P$ :

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \int \sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\nu(\mathbf{w}).$$

We can alternatively write the derivative of the measure as a function of  $\mathbf{w}$ :

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \int \sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{w}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{w},$$

where  $d\nu(\mathbf{w}) = g(\mathbf{w})d\mathbf{w}$ .

**Example 9.** Suppose  $\mathbf{w} \in {\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2}$  and  $g(\mathbf{w}_1) = \frac{1}{2}, g(\mathbf{w}_2) = -1$ . Then  $\int \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma(\mathbf{w}_1^\top \mathbf{x}) - \sigma(\mathbf{w}_2^\top \mathbf{x})$ .

## 3.1 Review Fourier Transformation

**Definition 10.** Let  $L^p$  be the function class such that  $f \in L^p$  iff  $[\int |f(x)|^p dx]^{1/p} < +\infty$ . If  $f \in L^1$ , the Fourier transform of f is:

$$\widehat{f}(\mathbf{w}) := \int \exp(-2\pi i \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}.$$

If  $f \in L^1$ , and  $\widehat{f} \in L^1$ , the Fourier inversion is defined as:

$$f'(\mathbf{x}) := \int \exp(2\pi i \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) \widehat{f}(\mathbf{w}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}.$$

In Definition 10, f(x) could be viewed as an infinite-width complex-valued neural network function. Since  $\exp(iz) = \cos(z) + i\sin(z)$ , the real part of f(x) is defined as:

$$\overline{f}(x) = Re(f'(x)) = \int \cos(2\pi \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}) \widehat{f}(\mathbf{w}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}.$$

Next lecture, we will rewrite the target function as two infinite-width networks with standard threshold activations, using the Fourier transforms in the weighting measure.