

# CS 540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Statistics & Math Review

Yudong Chen University of Wisconsin-Madison

Sep 21, 2021

#### Announcements

- Homeworks:
  - HW2 due Tuesday---get started early!
- Class roadmap:

Tuesday, Sep 14	Probability		т
Thursday, Sep 16	Linear Algebra and PCA		und
Tuesday, Sep 21	Statistics and Math Review		ament
Thursday, Sep 23	Introduction to Logic		tals
Tuesday, Sep 28	Natural Language Processing		

# Outline

• Finish last lecture: PCA

• Review of probability

• Statistics: sampling & estimation



# Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- A type of dimensionality reduction approach
  - For when data is approximately lower dimensional
- Goal: find a low-dimensional subspace
  - Will project to this subspace; want to minimize loss of information



## Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

• From 2D to 1D: – Find a  $v_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  so that we maximize "variability"



- New representations are along this vector (1D!)

# Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- From *d* dimensions to *r* dimensions:
  - Sequentially get orthogonal vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$
  - Maximize variability when projecting to them
  - The vectors are the principal components



#### PCA Setup

• Inputs

- Data: 
$$x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

– Can arrange into  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ 

- Centered! 
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$$

- Outputs
  - $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (principle components, orthogonal)



## PCA Setup

- Want directions (unit vectors) so that projecting data maximizes variance
  - What's projection? To project *a* onto unit vector *b*,

$$\langle a,b\rangle b \longleftarrow$$
 Direction  
Length



– Variance of projection:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle x_i, v \rangle^2 = \|Xv\|^2$$

#### PCA First Step

• First component:

$$v_1 = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, x_i \rangle^2$$
$$= \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \|Xv\|^2$$

#### PCA: *k*<sup>th</sup> step

• Once we have *k*-1 components, compute

$$\hat{X}_k = X - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X v_i v_i^T$$

**Deflation** 

7

• Then do the same thing

$$v_k = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \|\hat{X}_k w\|^2$$

• Deflation ensures  $v_k$  is orthogonal to  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$ 

#### PCA: Connection to Eigenvectors

•  $v_k$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  eigenvector of  $\frac{1}{n}X^TX$ 

Proof: linear algebra! (omitted)

- $\frac{1}{n}X^T X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is sample covariance matrix of data
  - When data is centered (has 0 mean)
- PCA can be done via eigendecomposition of sample covariance

#### **Application: Image Compression**

• Start with image; divide into 12x12 patches

- I.E., 144-D vector

- Original image:



#### **Application: Image Compression**

• 6 most important components (as an image)



#### **Application: Image Compression**

• Project to 6D,



#### Compressed

Original

**Q 1.1**: What is the projection of  $[1 \ 2]^T$  onto  $[0 \ 1]^T$ ?

- A. [1 2]<sup>⊤</sup>
- B. [-1 1]<sup>⊤</sup>
- C. [0 0]<sup>⊤</sup>
- D. [0 2]<sup>⊤</sup>

**Q 1.1**: What is the projection of  $[1 \ 2]^T$  onto  $[0 \ 1]^T$ ?

- A. [1 2]<sup>T</sup>
- B. [-1 1]<sup>⊤</sup>
- C. [0 0]<sup>⊤</sup>
- D. [0 2]<sup>T</sup>

**Q 1.2**: We wish to run PCA on 10-dimensional data in order to produce *r*-dimensional representations. Which is the most accurate (least loss of information)?

- A. *r* = 3
- B. *r* = 9
- C. *r* = 10
- D. *r* = 20

**Q 1.2**: We wish to run PCA on 10-dimensional data in order to produce *r*-dimensional representations. Which is the most accurate (least loss of information)?

- A. *r* = 3
- B. *r* = 9
- C. *r* = 10
- D. *r* = 20

#### Probability Review: Outcomes & Events

- Outcomes: possible results of an **experiment**
- Events: subsets of outcomes we're interested in

Ex: 
$$\Omega = \{\underbrace{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}_{\text{outcomes}}$$
  
 $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{1, 2\}, \dots, \Omega\}$   
events



#### **Review: Probability Distribution**

- We have outcomes and events.
- Now assign probabilities For  $E \in \mathcal{F}, P(E) \in [0,1]$

Back to our example:  

$$\mathcal{F} = \underbrace{\{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \Omega\}}_{\text{events}}$$

$$P(\{1, 3, 5\}) = 0.2, P(\{2, 4, 6\}) = 0.8$$



#### **Review: Random Variables**

- Map outcomes to real values  $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$
- Probabilities for a random variable:

$$P(X = 3) := P(\{\omega : X(\omega) = 3\})$$

• Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF)  $F_X(x) := P(X \le x)$ 

#### **Review: Random Variables**

- Back to our example:  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, \Omega\}$  $P(\{1, 3, 5\}) = 0.2, P(\{2, 4, 6\}) = 0.8$
- Consider random variable:  $X(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega = 1,3,5 \\ 0, & \omega = 2,4,6 \end{cases}$
- $P(X = 1) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) = 1\}) = P(\{1,3,4\}) = 0.2$
- P(X = 0) = 0.8
- CDF  $F_X(x)$  ?

#### **Review: Expectation & Variance**

• Expectation:  $E[X] = \sum_{a} a \times P(x = a)$ - The "average"

- Variance:  $Var[X] = E[(X E[X])^2]$ 
  - A measure of spread

## **Review: Conditional Probability**

• For when we know something,

$$P(X = a | Y = b) = \frac{P(X = a, Y = b)}{P(Y = b)}$$



Credit: Devin Soni

• Conditional independence P(X, Y|Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|Z)

#### **Review: Bayes Rule**

• Bayes rule: Posterior  $P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1, \dots, E_n | H) P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$ 

Assuming conditional independence:

$$P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1|H)P(E_2|H)\cdots, P(E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$$

#### **Review: Classification**

$$P(H|E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n) = \frac{P(E_1|H)P(E_2|H)\cdots, P(E_n|H)P(H)}{P(E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n)}$$

- Called Naïve Bayes Classifier
  - HW2: applied to document classification
- *H*: some class we'd like to infer from evidence  $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ 
  - Estimate prior P(H) from data
  - Estimate likelihood  $P(E_i|H)$  from data
  - How?

## Samples and Estimation

- Usually, we don't know the distribution P
  - Instead, we see a bunch of samples

- Typical statistics problem: estimate parameters from samples
  - Estimate probability P(H)
  - Estimate the mean E[X]
  - Estimate parameters  $P_{\theta}(X)$



#### Samples and Estimation

- Typical statistics problem: estimate parameters from samples
  - Estimate probability P(H)
  - Estimate the mean E[X]
  - Estimate parameters  $P_{\theta}(X)$
- Example: Bernoulli with parameter p

$$-p = E[X] = P(X = 1)$$



#### Examples: Sample Mean

- Bernoulli with parameter/mean *p*
- See samples  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ 
  - Estimate mean with sample mean

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$



Counting heads

- **Q 2.1:** You see samples of X given by [0,1,1,2,2,0,1,2]. Empirically estimate  $E[X^2]$
- A. 9/8
- B. 15/8
- C. 1.5
- D. There aren't enough samples to estimate  $E[X^2]$

- **Q 2.1:** You see samples of X given by [0,1,1,2,2,0,1,2]. Empirically estimate  $E[X^2]$
- A. 9/8
- B. 15/8
- C. 1.5

D. There aren't enough samples to estimate  $E[X^2]$ 

**Q 2.2:** You are empirically estimating P(X) for some random variable X that takes on 100 values. You see 50 samples. How many of your P(X=a) estimates might be 0?

A. None.

- B. Between 5 and 50, exclusive.
- C. Between 50 and 100, inclusive.
- D. Between 50 and 99, inclusive.

**Q 2.2:** You are empirically estimating P(X) for some random variable X that takes on 100 values. You see 50 samples. How many of your P(X=a) estimates might be 0?

A. None.

- B. Between 5 and 50, exclusive.
- C. Between 50 and 100, inclusive.
- D. Between 50 and 99, inclusive.

#### **Estimation Theory**

- Is sample mean is a good estimate of true mean?
  - Law of large numbers:  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[X]$
  - Central limit theorem: limit distribution of  $\widehat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$
  - Concentration inequalities
    - $P(|\mathbb{E}[X] \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]| \ge t) \le \exp(-2nt^2)$
- Covered in advanced ML/stat courses



Wolfram Demo