

CS 540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Natural Language Processing

Yudong Chen University of Wisconsin-Madison

Sep 28, 2021

Announcements

• Homeworks:

– HW3 released. Start early!

• Class roadmap:

Tuesday, Sep 28	NLP
Thursday, Sep 30	ML Intro
Tuesday, Oct 5	ML Unsupervised I
Thursday, Oct 7	ML Unsupervised I
Tuesday, Oct 12	ML Linear Regression

Machine

Learning

Homework Review: PCA Recursion

• Once we have *k*-1 components, next?

$$\hat{X}_k = X - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} X v_i v_i^T$$

Deflation

• Then do the same thing

$$v_k = \arg \max_{\|v\|=1} \|\hat{X}_k v\|^2$$

Homework Review: Eigendecomposition

- Recall e-val/e-vectors: $Av = \lambda v$
- Eigendecomposition:



- Equivalency: v_k computed by recursion is k-th e-vector of sample covariance matrix Σ
- HW3: replace PCA recursion with **eigendecomp**

Homework Review: Covariance

- Recall variance: $\mathbb{E}[(X E[X])^2]$
- Now, for a random vector $X = (X_1, ..., X_d)^T$
- Covariance matrix (size $d \times d$):

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])^2] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])(X_d - \mathbb{E}[X_d])] \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbb{E}[(X_d - \mathbb{E}[X_d])(X_1 - \mathbb{E}[X_1])] & \cdots & \mathbb{E}[(X_d - \mathbb{E}[X_d])^2] \end{bmatrix}$ Cross-variance Diagonals: Variance

• Sample covariance matrix: estimate of above

Last Time: CNFs

• Recall the form:

 $(\neg \mathsf{A} \lor \mathsf{B} \lor \mathsf{C}) \land (\neg \mathsf{B} \lor \mathsf{A}) \land (\neg \mathsf{C} \lor \mathsf{A})$

- Any sentence can be transformed to a CNF
 - Use equivalences for connectives we don't use (i.e., \Rightarrow)
 - Move negatives inside (DeMorgan's laws)
 - Push \lor inside \land by distributing
- Note: in general, not unique. In canonical form, unique. Not guaranteed to be satisfiable

What is **NLP**?

Combining computing with human language. Want to:

- Answer questions
- Summarize or extract information
- Translate between languages
- Generate dialogue/language
- Write stories automatically



Why is it **hard**?

Many reasons:

- Ambiguity: "We saw her duck". Several meanings.
- Non-standard use of language
- Segmentation challenges
- Understanding of the world
 - "Bob and Joe are brothers".
 - "Bob and Joe are fathers".



Approaches to NLP

A brief history

- Symbolic NLP: 50's to 90's
- Statistical/Probabilistic: 90's to present
 - Neural: 2010's to present

Lots of progress!



Lots more to work to do



ELIZA program

Outline

- Introduction to language models
 - n-grams, training, improving issues, evaluation
- Classic NLP tasks
 - Part-of-speech tagging, parsing, dependencies
- Word representations
 - One-hot, word embeddings, transformer-based

Language Models

Basic idea: use probabilistic models to assign a probability to a sentence

$$P(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \text{ or } P(w_{\text{next}} | w_1, w_2 \dots)$$

- Goes back to Shannon
 - Information theory: letters

	XFOML RXKHRJFFJUJ ALPWXFWJXYJ			
Zero-order approximation	FFJEYVJCQSGHYD			
	QPAAMKBZAACIBZLKJQD			
	OCRO HLO RGWR NMIELWIS EU LL			
First-order approximation	NBNESEBYA TH EEI ALHENHTTPA			
	OOBTTVA NAH BRL			
Second-order approximation	ON IE ANTSOUTINYS ARE T INCTORE			
	ST BE S DEAMY ACHIN D ILONASIVE			
	TUCOOWE AT TEASONARE FUSO TIZIN			
	ANDY TOBE SEACE CTISBE			
Third-order approximation	IN NO IST LAT WHEY CRATICT			
	FROURE BIRS GROCID PONDENOME			
	OF DEMONSTURES OF THE REPTAGIN			
	IS REGOACTIONA OF CRE			
First-order word approximation	REPRESENTING AND SPEEDILY IS AN			
	GOOD APT OR COME CAN DIFFERENT			
	NATURAL HERE HE THE A IN CAME			
	THE TO OF TO EVDERT OR AV COME TO			

Training The Model

Recall the chain rule

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)\dots P(w_n|w_{n-1}\dots w_1)$$

- How do we estimate these probabilities
 - Same thing as "training"
- From data?
 - Yes, but not directly: too many sentences.
 - Can't estimate reliably.

Training: Make Assumptions

• Markov-type assumptions:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}w_{i-2}\dots w_1) = P(w_i|w_{i-1}w_{i-2}\dots w_{i-k})$$

- Present doesn't depend on whole past
 - Just recent past
 - Markov chains have k=1. (Present only depends on immediate past).
 - What's k=0?

k=0: **Uni**gram Model

- Full independence assumption:
 - (Present doesn't depend on the past)

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2)\dots P(w_n)$$

• Example (from Dan Jurafsky's notes)

fifth, an, of, futures, the, an, incorporated, a, a, the, inflation, most, dollars, quarter, in, is, mass thrift, did, eighty, said, hard, 'm, july, bullish that, or, limited, the

k=1: **Bi**gram Model

- Markov Assumption:
 - (Present depends on immediate past)

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = P(w_1)P(w_2|w_1)P(w_3|w_2)\dots P(w_n|w_{n-1})$$

• Example:

texaco, rose, one, in, this, issue, is, pursuing, growth, in, a, boiler, house, said, mr., gurria, mexico, 's, motion, control, proposal, without, permission, from, five, hundred, fifty, five, yen outside, new, car, parking, lot, of, the, agreement, reached this, would, be, a, record, november

k=n-1: **n-**gram Model

Can do trigrams, 4-grams, and so on

- More expressive as *n* goes up
- Harder to estimate

Training: just count? I.e, for bigram:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$$

1

n-gram Training

lssues:

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i)}{\operatorname{count}(w_{i-1})}$$

- **1**. Multiply tiny numbers?
 - Solution: use logs; add instead of multiply
- 2. n-grams with zero probability?
 - Solution: smoothing

$$P(w_i|w_{i-1}) = \frac{\text{count}(w_{i-1}, w_i) + 1}{\text{count}(w_{i-1}) + V}$$





Dan Klein

Other Solutions: Backoff & Interpolation

For **issue 2**, back-off methods

- Use n-gram where there is lots of information, rgram (with r << n) elsewhere. (trigrams / bigrams)
 Interpolation
- Mix different models: (tri- + bi- + unigrams)

$$\hat{P}(w_i|w_{i-1}, w_{i-2}) = \lambda_1 P(w_i|w_{i-1}, w_{i-2}) + \lambda_2 P(w_i|w_{i-1}) + \lambda_3 P(w_i)$$

n-gram Training Issues

lssues:

- **1**. Multiply tiny numbers?
 - Solution: use logs; add instead of multiply
- 2. Sparse n-grams
 - **Solution**: smoothing, backoff, interpolation
- 3. Vocabulary: open vs closed
 - Solution: use <UNK> unknown word token

Vocabulary: open vs closed

- Possible to estimate size of unknown vocabulary
 Good-Turing estimator
- Originally developed to crack the Enigma machine



Break & Quiz

Q 1.1: Which of the below are bigrams from the sentence "It is cold outside today".

- A. It is
- B. cold today
- C. is cold
- D. A & C

Break & Quiz

Q 1.2: Smoothing is increasingly useful for n-grams when

- A. n gets larger
- B. n gets smaller
- C. always the same
- D. n larger than 10

Evaluating Language Models

How do we know we've done a good job?

- Observation
- Train/test on separate data & measure metrics
- Metrics:
 - 1. Extrinsic evaluation
 - 2. Perplexity



Extrinsic Evaluation

How do we know we've done a good job?

- **Pick a task** and use the model to do the task
- For two models, M₁, M₂, compare the accuracy for each task
 - Ex: Q/A system: how many questions right. Translation: how many words translated correctly
- Downside: slow; may change relatively

Detect language	•	←	English
Enter text			Translation

Intrinsic Evaluation: Perplexity

Perplexity is a **measure of uncertainty**

$$PP(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

Lower is better! Examples:

WSJ corpus; 40 million words for training: – Unigram: 962, Bigram 170, Trigram 109

Further NLP Tasks

Language modeling is not the only task. Two further types:

- **1.** Auxilliary tasks:
 - Part-of-speech tagging, parsing, etc.
- 2. Direct tasks:
 - Question-answering, translation, summarization, classification (e.g., sentiment analysis)

Part-of-speech Tagging

Tag words as nouns, verbs, adjectives, etc.

- Tough part: ambiguous, even for people.
- Needs:
 - Getting neighboring word parts right
 - Knowledge of words ("man" is used as a noun, rarely as verb)

Model	Features	Token	Unknown	Sentence
Baseline	56,805	93.69%	82.61%	26.74%
3Words	239,767	96.57%	86.78%	48.27%

Chris Manning

Parsing

Get the grammatical structure of sentences



The boy put the tortoise on the rug



Chris Manning

• Which words depend on each other? Note: input a sentence, output a tree (dependency parsing)

Break & Quiz

Q 2.1: What is the perplexity for a sequence of *n* digits 0-9? All occur with equal probability.

$$PP(W) = P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

- A. 10
- B. 1/10
- C. 10ⁿ
- D. 0

Representing Words

Recall random variables (RVs): real valued

• Easier to work with than objects like 'dog'

Traditional representation: **one-hot vectors** $dog = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

- Dimension: # of words in vocabulary
- Relationships between words?



Smarter Representations

Distributional semantics: account for relationships

 Reps should be close/similar to other words that appear in a similar context

Dense vectors:

dog = $\begin{bmatrix} 0.13 & 0.87 & -0.23 & 0.46 & 0.87 & -0.31 \end{bmatrix}^T$ cat = $\begin{bmatrix} 0.07 & 1.03 & -0.43 & -0.21 & 1.11 & -0.34 \end{bmatrix}^T$ AKA word embeddings



Training Word Embeddings

Many approaches (super popular 2010-present)

- Word2vec: a famous approach
- What's our likelihood?





Training Word Embeddings

Word2vec likelihood $L(\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{-a < j < a} P(w_{t+j}|w_t, \theta)$

- Maximize this; what's the probability?
 - Two vectors per word. v_w , u_w for center/context (o is context word, c is center)





Beyond "Shallow" Embeddings

- Transformers: special model architectures based on attention
 - Sophisticated types of neural networks
- Pretrained models
 - Based on transformers: BERT
 - Include context!

• Fine-tune for desired task



Vaswani et al. 17