

#### CS 540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Reinforcement Learning I

Yudong Chen University of Wisconsin-Madison

Dec 2, 2021

#### Announcements

- Homeworks:
  - HW9 due next Tue
  - HW10 released
- Final: administrative details out soon
- Class roadmap:
  - Today and next Tuesday: Reinforcement Learning

## Outline

- Introduction to reinforcement learning
  - Basic concepts, mathematical formulation, MDPs, policies
- Valuing policies
  - Value functions, Bellman equation, value iteration
- Q-learning (time permitted)
  - Q function, SARSA



- Agent receives a reward based on state of the world
  - Goal: maximize reward / utility (\$\$\$)
  - Note: data consists of actions & observations
    - Compare to unsupervised learning and supervised learning

#### **Examples: Gameplay Agents**

#### AlphaGo:





#### https://deepmind.com/research/alphago/

#### Examples: Video Game Agents

#### Pong, Atari



Mnih et al, "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning"



A. Nielsen

#### Examples: Video Game Agents

#### Minecraft, Quake, StarCraft, and more!



Shao et al, "A Survey of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Video Games"

#### **Examples: Robotics**

#### Training robots to perform tasks (e.g., grasp!)





Ibarz et al, " How to Train Your Robot with Deep Reinforcement Learning – Lessons We've Learned "

## Example: Robotics + Puzzle Solving

OpenAl , "Solving Rubik's Cube with a Robot Hand", 2019







## **Building The Theoretical Model**

#### Basic setup:

- Set of states, S
- Set of actions A



- Information: at time *t*, observe state  $s_t \in S$ . Get reward  $r_t$
- Agent makes choice  $a_t \in A$ . State changes to  $s_{t+1}$ , continue

Goal: find a map from **states to actions** maximize rewards.

## Markov Decision Process (MDP)

The formal mathematical model:

- State set S. Initial state s<sub>0.</sub> Action set A
- State transition model:  $P(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ 
  - Markov assumption: transition probability only depends on  $s_t$  and  $a_t$ , and not previous actions or states.
- Reward function: **r**(s<sub>t</sub>)
- **Policy**:  $\pi(s) : S \to A$  action to take at a particular state.  $s_0 \xrightarrow{\pi(s)}{s_1} \xrightarrow{a_1}{s_2} \xrightarrow{a_2}{s_2} \cdots$

 $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(S_0)$   $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma(S_1)$   $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma(S_2)$ 

Example of MDP: Grid World  $\pi(AI) = \Psi , \quad \pi(C3) = right , \quad \dots$ Robot on a grid; goal: find the best policy



Source: P. Abbeel and D. Klein

#### Example of MDP: Grid World

#### Note: (i) Robot is unreliable (ii) Reach target fast





r(s) = -0.04 for every non-terminal state

#### Grid World Abstraction

Note: (i) Robot is unreliable (ii) Reach target fast





r(s) = -0.04 for every non-terminal state

#### Grid World Optimal Policy

Note: (i) Robot is unreliable (ii) Reach target fast





r(s) = -0.04 for every non-terminal state

#### Back to MDP Setup

The formal mathematical model:

- State set S. Initial state s<sub>0.</sub> Action set A
- State transition model:  $P(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t)$ 
  - Markov assumption: transition probability only depends on  $s_t$  and  $a_t$ , and not previous actions or states.
- Reward function: **r**(**s**<sub>t</sub>)

How do we find the best policy?

• **Policy**:  $\pi(s) : S \to A$  action to take at a particular state.

$$s_0 \xrightarrow{a_0} s_1 \xrightarrow{a_1} s_2 \xrightarrow{a_2} \dots$$

**Q 1.1** Which of the following statement about MDP is **not** true?

- A. The reward function must output a scalar value
- B. The policy maps states to actions
- C. The probability of next state can depend on current and previous states
- D. The solution of MDP is to find a policy that maximizes the cumulative rewards

**Q 1.1** Which of the following statement about MDP is **not** true?

- A. The reward function must output a scalar value
- B. The policy maps states to actions
- C. The probability of next state can depend on current and previous states
- D. The solution of MDP is to find a policy that maximizes the cumulative rewards

**Q 1.1** Which of the following statement about MDP is **not** true?

- A. The reward function must output a scalar value (True: need to be able to compare)
- B. The policy maps states to actions (True: a policy tells you what action to take for each state).
- C. The probability of next state can depend on current and previous states (False: Markov assumption).
- D. The solution of MDP is to find a policy that maximizes the cumulative rewards (True: want to maximize rewards overall).



## **Discounting Rewards**

One issue: these are infinite series. **Convergence**?

• Solution utility = discounted cumulative reveal

$$U(s_0, s_1...) = r(s_0) + \gamma r(s_1) + \gamma^2 r(s_2) + ... = \sum_{t \ge 0} \gamma^t r(s_t)$$

- Discount factor γ between 0 and 1
  - Set according to how important present is vs. future
  - Note: has to be less than 1 for convergence

#### From Value to Policy

Now that  $V^{\pi}(s_0)$  is defined, what *a* should we take?

• First, set  $V^*(s)$  to be expected utility for **optimal** policy from s

 $P(s'|s,a)V^*(s')$ 

- What's the expected utility of an action?
  - Specifically, action *a* in state *s*?

Transition probability Expected rewards

 $V^{*}(S_{i}^{\prime}) \quad P(S_{i}^{\prime}|S, A)$   $v^{*}(S_{2}^{\prime}) \quad P(S_{2}^{\prime}|S, A)$   $v^{*}(S_{2}^{\prime}) \quad P(S_{2}^{\prime}|S, A)$   $v^{*}(S_{3}^{\prime}) \quad P(S_{2}^{\prime}|S, A)$ 

All the states we could go to

## **Obtaining the Optimal Policy**

rewards

We know the expected utility of an action.

• So, to get the optimal policy, compute

$$\pi^{*}(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a} \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) V^{*}(s')$$
All the states we Transition Expected

probability

could go to

#### Slight Problem...

Now we can get the optimal policy by doing

$$\pi^*(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a} \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) V^*(s')$$

- So we need to know  $V^*(s)$ .
  - But it was defined in terms of the optimal policy!
  - So we need some other approach to get  $V^*(s)$ .
  - Need some other **property** of the value function!

#### **Bellman Equation**

Let's walk over one step for the value function:

• Bellman: inventor of dynamic programming



# Value Iteration VI =

**Q**: how do we find  $V^*(s)$ ?

- Why do we want it? Can use it to get the best policy
- Know: reward **r**(**s**), transition probability P(**s**' | **s**,**a**)
- Also know V\*(s) satisfies Bellman equation (recursion above)

**A**: Use the property. Start with  $V_0(s)=0$ . Then, update

$$V_{i+1}(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_{a} \sum_{s'} P(s'|s, a) V_i(s') \qquad \forall s$$

$$V_i(s) \qquad \forall s$$

#### Value Iteration: Demo



Source: POMDPBGallery Julia Package

**Q 2.1** Consider an MDP with 2 states  $\{A, B\}$  and 2 actions: "stay" at current state and "move" to other state. Let **r** be the reward function such that  $\mathbf{r}(A) = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{r}(B) = 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the discounting factor. Let  $\pi$ :  $\pi(A) = \pi(B) =$ move (i.e., an "always move" policy). What is the value function  $V^{\pi}(A)$ ?

- A. 0
- B. 1 / (1 -γ)
- C. 1 / (1 - $\gamma^2$ )
- D. 1



**Q 2.1** Consider an MDP with 2 states  $\{A, B\}$  and 2 actions: "stay" at current state and "move" to other state. Let **r** be the reward function such that  $\mathbf{r}(A) = 1$ ,  $\mathbf{r}(B) = 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the discounting factor. Let  $\pi$ :  $\pi(A) = \pi(B) =$ move (i.e., an "always move" policy). What is the value function  $V^{\pi}(A)$ ?

- A. 0
- B. 1/(1-γ)
- C. 1/(1-γ<sup>2</sup>)
- D. 1

**Q 2.1** Consider an MDP with 2 states {A, B} and 2 actions: "stay" at current state and "move" to other state. Let r be the reward function such that r(A) =1,  $\mathbf{r}(B) = 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the discounting factor. Let  $\pi: \pi(A) = \pi(B) = \mathbf{move}$  (i.e., an "always move" policy). What is the value function  $V^{\pi}(A)$ ?

- A. 0 B.  $1/(1-\gamma)$   $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f) = \mathcal{U}(ABAB...) \cdot P(ABAB...) = (1+0+\delta^{4}I^{+}) 1$
- C. 1/(1-γ<sup>2</sup>) (States: A, B, A, B,... rewards 1,0, γ<sup>2</sup>,0, γ<sup>4</sup>,0)
- $\sqrt{r}(B) = 0 + \delta + 0 + \delta^{2} + \dots + = \delta \cdot \frac{1}{1 \kappa^{2}}$ D. 1

## **Q-Learning**

What if we don't know transition probability P(s'|s,a)?

- Need a way to learn to act without it.
- Q-learning: get an action-value function Q(s,a) that tells us the value of doing a in state s
- Note:  $V^*(s) = \max_a Q(s,a)$
- Now, we can just do  $\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a Q(s, a)$ 
  - But need to estimate *Q*!



#### **Q-Learning Iteration**

- How do we get Q(s,a)?
- Similar iterative procedure

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha [r(s_t) + \gamma \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a) - Q(s_t, a_t)]$$
  
Learning rate

• Idea: combine old value and new estimate of future value.

## **Exploration Vs. Exploitation**

General question!

- **Exploration:** take an action with unknown consequences
  - Pros:
    - Get a more accurate model of the environment
    - Discover higher-reward states than the ones found so far
  - Cons:
    - When exploring, not maximizing your utility
    - Something bad might happen
- Exploitation: go with the best strategy found so far
  - Pros:
    - Maximize reward as reflected in the current utility estimates
    - Avoid bad stuff
  - Cons:
    - Might also prevent you from discovering the true optimal strategy

## Q-Learning: Epsilon-Greedy Policy

#### How to **explore**?

 With some 0<ε<1 probability, take a random action at each state, or else the action with highest Q(s,a) value.

$$a = \begin{cases} \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in A} Q(s, a) & \operatorname{uniform}(0, 1) > \epsilon \\ \operatorname{random} a \in A & \operatorname{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

#### Q-Learning: SARSA

Using the epsilon-greedy policy, an alternative:

• Just use the next action, no max over actions:

$$Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \leftarrow Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \alpha [r(\mathbf{s}_t) + \gamma Q(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1}) - Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

Learning rate

• Called state-action-reward-state-action (SARSA)

**Q 3.1** What is the main difficulty in applying Q-learning with a discrete Q-table to an environment with continuous numerical observations?

- A. We may not converge to the correct Q(*s*,*a*) values.
- B. It would take a potentially intractable amount of memory to represent every possible Q(*s*,*a*) value in the discrete Q-table.
- C. Q-learning with a discrete Q-table can be applied to these environments with no issues.

**Q 3.1** What is the main difficulty in applying Q-learning with a discrete Q-table to an environment with continuous numerical observations?

- A. We may not converge to the correct Q(*s*,*a*) values.
- B. It would take a potentially intractable amount of memory to represent every possible Q(*s*,*a*) value in the discrete Q-table.
- C. Q-learning with a discrete Q-table can be applied to these environments with no issues.

## Summary

- Reinforcement learning setup
- Mathematica formulation: MDP
- Value functions & the Bellman equation
- Value iteration
- Q-learning



**Acknowledgements:** Based on slides from Fred Sala, Yin Li, Jerry Zhu, Svetlana Lazebnik, Yingyu Liang, David Page, Mark Craven, Pieter Abbeel, Dan Klein