

Lecture 18: Stochastic Optimization

Yudong Chen

1 Setup

The algorithms we've seen so far have access to a first order oracle, which returns the exact (sub)gradient at a given point, plus potentially the function value.

$$x \in \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{1st order oracle}} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} g_x \in \partial f(x) \\ (\nabla f(x) \text{ if } f \text{ is differentiable}) \\ \text{maybe also } f(x) \end{array}$$

Stochastic optimization: We are given a *noisy* version of the (sub)gradient:

$$x \in \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \boxed{\text{1st order stochastic oracle}} \longrightarrow \tilde{g}(x, \zeta)$$

Here $\tilde{g}(x, \zeta)$ is a stochastic estimate of some $g_x \in \partial f(x)$, where ζ is a random variable (representing the randomness in the stochastic estimate).

Remark 1. Some models also assume access to stochastic estimates of the function value $f(x)$. We do not need that here.

1.1 Examples

Example 1. $\tilde{g}(x, \zeta) = g_x + \zeta$, where ζ is additive noise from, e.g., inaccurate measurements in physical systems. Sometimes, the noise is added intentionally (for privacy).

Example 2. Finite sum minimization:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)$$

and n is large. We can take $\tilde{g}(x, \zeta) = \nabla f_{\bar{i}}(x)$, where \bar{i} is an integer sampled uniformly at random from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Example 3. Empirical risk minimization (ERM): We want to minimize

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \Pi_{\text{data}}} [l(x; a, b)],$$

but we do not know how to compute the expectation exactly. Suppose we have collected n data points (a_i, b_i) that come from the distribution Π_{data} . We can consider minimizing the empirical loss

$$f_{\text{emp}}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(x; a_i, b_i).$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f_{\text{emp}} \rightarrow f$. Here we may view $\tilde{g}(x, \zeta) = \nabla f_{\text{emp}}(x)$ as a noisy estimate of $\nabla f(x)$.

1.2 Assumptions

Consider the problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x). \quad (\text{P})$$

We assume that

- f is convex and M -Lipschitz (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_2$).
- \mathcal{X} is closed, convex and nonempty. The projection $P_{\mathcal{X}}$ can be efficiently computed.
- For all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, it holds that

$$\text{(unbiased estimate)} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\xi} [\tilde{g}(x, \xi)] = g_x \in \partial f(x),$$

$$\text{(bounded variance)} \quad \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[\|\tilde{g}(x, \xi) - g_x\|_2^2 \right] \leq \sigma^2 < \infty.$$

2 Stochastic (projected sub)gradient descent

Consider the following S-PSubGD algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ a_k \langle \tilde{g}(x_k, \xi_k), u - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|u - x_k\|_2^2 \right\} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}(x_k, \xi_k)), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_k > 0$ is the stepsize to be chosen later.

2.1 Convergence analysis

In the sequel, we assume that $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). To avoid cluttered notation, we introduce the shorthands $g_k \equiv g_{x_k}$ (true subgradient) and $\tilde{g}_k \equiv \tilde{g}(x_k, \xi_k)$ (noisy subgradient).

As in the previous lecture, we analyze the averaged iterate $x_k^{\text{out}} := \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x_i$, where $A_k := \sum_{i=0}^k a_i$, and we use the same U_k, L_k and G_k :

$$\text{upper bound:} \quad U_k := \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k a_i f(x_i) \geq f(x_k^{\text{out}}),$$

$$\text{lower bound:} \quad L_k := \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k a_i f(x_i) + \frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \langle g_i, x^* - x_i \rangle \leq f(x^*),$$

$$\text{optimality gap bound:} \quad G_k := U_k - L_k = -\frac{1}{A_k} \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \langle g_i, x^* - x_i \rangle \geq f(x_k^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*).$$

The analysis is similar to last lecture, except that we need to keep track of the stochastic error $g_k - \tilde{g}_k$. We have

$$A_0 G_0 = -a_0 \langle g_0, x^* - x_0 \rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_k G_k - A_{k-1} G_{k-1} &= -a_k \langle g_k, x^* - x_k \rangle \\ &= a_k \langle g_k, x_k - x_{k+1} \rangle + a_k \langle g_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \underbrace{a_k \langle g_k, x_k - x_{k+1} \rangle + a_k \langle \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle}_{\text{similar to last lecture}} + \underbrace{a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle}_{\text{additional error term}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $x_{k+1} = P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k)$ satisfies the minimum principle:

$$\langle a_k \tilde{g}_k + x_{k+1} - x_k, x^* - x_{k+1} \rangle \geq 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_k \langle \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle &\leq \langle x_{k+1} - x_k, x^* - x_{k+1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &A_k G_k - A_{k-1} G_{k-1} \\ &\leq \underbrace{a_k \langle g_k, x_k - x_{k+1} \rangle + \left(\frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x_{k+1}\|_2^2 \right)}_{\text{same as last lecture}} + a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq \underbrace{\frac{a_k^2 M^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_2^2}_{\text{same as last lecture}} + a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We take expectation of both sides. By the Law of Iterated Expectation,¹ we can write

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{RHS}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\text{RHS} \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] \right],$$

where $\zeta_0^{k-1} := (\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{k-1})$ denotes all the previous randomness in iterations 0 through $k-1$ (not including ζ_k). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[a_k \langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} - x^* \rangle \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] \\ &= a_k \mathbb{E} \left[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x_{k+1} \rangle \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] && \mathbb{E} \left[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, x^* \rangle \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] = 0 \\ & && \text{as } \tilde{g}_k \text{ is unbiased and independent of } x^* \\ &= a_k \mathbb{E} \left[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) \rangle \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] \\ &= a_k \mathbb{E} \left[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) - P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k g_k) \rangle \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] && \mathbb{E} \left[\langle g_k - \tilde{g}_k, P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k g_k) \rangle \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] = 0 \\ & && \text{as } \tilde{g}_k \text{ is unbiased and independent of } x_k \\ &\leq a_k \mathbb{E} \left[\|g_k - \tilde{g}_k\|_2 \cdot \|P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k \tilde{g}_k) - P_{\mathcal{X}}(x_k - a_k g_k)\|_2 \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] && \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \\ &\leq a_k \mathbb{E} \left[a_k \|g_k - \tilde{g}_k\|_2^2 \mid \zeta_0^{k-1} \right] && P_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ is nonexpansive} \\ &\leq a_k^2 \sigma^2. && \text{bounded variance assumption} \end{aligned}$$

¹Also known as Law of Total Expectation, or Tower Rule

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E} [A_k G_k - A_{k-1} G_{k-1}] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|x_k - x^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\|_2^2 \right] + \frac{a_k^2 (M^2 + 2\sigma^2)}{2}.$$

Summing both sides over k and telescoping, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [f(x_K^{\text{out}}) - f(x^*)] &\leq \mathbb{E} [G_K] \\ &\leq \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|_2^2 + (M^2 + 2\sigma^2) \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} a_k^2}{2A_K}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression on the right-hand side is the same as what we got the last time for projected sub-gradient descent (PSubGD), except for having $M^2 + 2\sigma^2$ in place of M^2 . The rest of the analysis is similar to that for PSubGD:

- Using constant stepsize $a_k = \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|_2}{\sqrt{M^2 + 2\sigma^2} \sqrt{k+1}}, \forall k$, we get an $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{K}}\right)$ convergence rate.
- Same discussion about anytime algorithm, unknown/unbounded diameter of \mathcal{X} , unknown M , etc.