# Lecture 22: Quasi-Newton: The BFGS and SR1 Methods

#### Yudong Chen

## **1** The BFGS method

Closely related to DFP is the BFGS (Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno) method, which is the most popular quasi-Newton method.

The high level idea of BFGS is similar to DFP, except that we switch the roles of  $B_k$  and  $H_k$ :

- works with a secant equation for  $H_{k+1}$  instead of  $B_{k+1}$ ;
- imposes a least change condition on  $H_{k+1}$  instead of  $B_{k+1}$ .

In particular recall the DFP secant equation:

DFP: 
$$y_k = B_{k+1} s_k. \tag{1}$$

Working with  $H_{k+1} = B_{k+1}^{-1}$ , BFGS considers the following secant equation:

BFGS: 
$$H_{k+1}y_k = s_k.$$
 (2)

To find  $H_{k+1}$ , we solve the least-change problem

$$\begin{split} \min_{H} \|H - H_k\|_W \\ \text{s.t.} H &= H^\top \\ Hy_k &= s_k, \end{split} \tag{3}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_W$  is the weighted Frobenius norm with weight matrix  $W = \bar{G}_k = \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x_k + ts_k) dt$ . The solution  $H_{k+1}$  and its inverse  $B_{k+1}$  are given in closed form by

$$(BFGS) \qquad H_{k+1} = \left(I - \frac{s_k y_k^{\top}}{s_k^{\top} y_k}\right) H_k \left(I - \frac{y_k s_k^{\top}}{s_k^{\top} y_k}\right) + \frac{s_k s_k^{\top}}{s_k^{\top} y_k},$$
$$(BFGS) \qquad B_{k+1} = B_k - \underbrace{\frac{B_k s_k s_k^{\top} B_k}{s_k^{\top} B_k s_k}}_{rank-1} + \underbrace{\frac{y_k y_k^{\top}}{y_k^{\top} s_k}}_{rank-1}.$$

Similar to DFP, BFGS involves rank-2 updates and maintains positive definiteness (proof left as exercise).

**Fact 1.** If  $B_k$  and  $H_k$  are positive definite and  $y_k^{\top} s_k > 0$ , then  $B_{k+1}$  and  $H_{k+1}$  computed using (4) are also positive definite.

DFP and BFGS are duals of each other: one can be obtained from the other using the interchanges below.

#### 1.1 Implementation and performance

A direct implementation of BFGS stores the  $d \times d$  matrix  $H_k$  explicitly. An alternative : store  $\sigma_0$  for  $H_0 = \sigma_0 I$  and the pairs  $(s_0, y_0), (s_1, y_1), \dots, (s_k, y_k)$ , so  $H_{k+1}$  is stored implicitly. To form the search direction  $-H_k \nabla f(x_k)$  from this implicit representation, it takes O(d) operations for each step, so O(dk) operations in total, and storage of O(dk). For  $k \le d/5$ , this is better than explicit storage with cost  $O(d^2)$ .

It is observed that BFGS tends to outperform DFP, as BFGS can more effectively recover from a bad Hessian approximation  $B_k$ .

Some numerical results on  $f(x) = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2$  (from Nocedal-Wright). To achieve  $\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le 10^{-5}$ , the steepest descent (i.e., GD) method required 5264 iterations, BFGS required 34, and Newton required 21. The table shows  $\|x_k - x^*\|$  for the last few iterations.

steepest	BFGS	Newton
descent		
1.827e-04	1.70e-03	3.48e-02
1.826e-04	1.17e-03	1.44e-02
1.824e-04	1.34e-04	1.82e-04
1.823e-04	1.01e-06	1.17e-08

### 1.2 Convergence guarantees for BFGS

We consider the iteration  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k B_k^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$ , where  $B_k$  is updated according to BFGS (4), and  $\alpha_k$  satisfies the Weak Wolfe Conditions with  $c_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Moreover, we will assume that the line search procedure will always try  $\alpha_k = 1$  first and accept it when it satisfies the Wolfe Conditions.

We have global convergence guarantees for convex functions.

Theorem 1 (Global convergence; Thm 6.5 in Nocedal-Wright). Suppose that

•  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is twice continuously differentiable, the sublevel set  $\mathcal{L} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid f(x) \le f(x_0)\}$  is convex, and

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{L}: \quad mI \preccurlyeq \nabla^2 f(x) \preccurlyeq MI$$

for some  $0 < m \le M < \infty$ . (Note that f has a unique minimizer  $x^*$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ .)

• *The initial* B<sub>0</sub> *is symmetric p.d.* 

Then  $\{x_k\}$  converges to the minimizer  $x^*$ .

Using Theorem 1, we can in fact show that the convergence is fast enough that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k - x^*\| < \infty.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

We have local superlinear convergence guarantees for general (possibly nonconvex) functions.

**Theorem 2** (Local superlinear convergence; Thm 6.6 in Nocedal-Wright). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be twice continuously differentiable. Suppose that the iterates of BFGS converge to a local minimizer  $x^*$  and satisfy (5), and the Hessian of f is positive definite and L-Lipschitz around  $x^*$ , i.e.,

$$\left\| \nabla^2 f(x) - \nabla^2 f(x^*) \right\| \le L \left\| x - x^* \right\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{N}_{x^*}.$$

*Then*  $\{x_k\} \stackrel{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} x^*$  *at a superlinear rate.* 

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\left\| \left( B_k - \nabla^2 f(x_k) \right) s_k \right\|_2}{\|s_k\|_2} = 0.$$

In this case, Theorem 2 from Lecture 21 applies and guarantees superlinear convergence.

## 2 The SR1 (symmetric rank-1 update) method

Consider the rank-1 update

$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \sigma_k v_k v_k^\top,$$

where  $\sigma_k \in \{-1, +1\}$  and  $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We choose  $\sigma_k$ ,  $B_k$  so that  $B_{k+1}$  satisfies the secant equation

$$B_{k+1}s_k = y_k,\tag{6}$$

where  $s_k := x_{k+1} - x_k$ ,  $y_k := \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k)$ . The secant equation is equivalent to

$$y_k - B_k s_k = \underbrace{\sigma_k(v_k^\top s_k)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} v_k.$$
(7)

Assume  $v_k^{\top} s_k \neq 0$ . Then  $v_k$  is parallel to  $y_k - B_k s_k$ , i.e.,  $v_k = \delta(y_k - B_k s_k)$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Substituting back, we get

$$y_k - B_k s_k = \underbrace{\sigma_k \delta^2 s_k^\top (y_k - B_k s_k)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} (y_k - B_k s_k).$$

For this equation to hold, we must have

$$\sigma_k = ext{sign}\left(s_k^ op(y_k - B_k s_k)
ight), \qquad \delta = \pm rac{1}{\sqrt{\left|s_k^ op(y_k - B_k s_k)
ight|}}$$

assuming that  $|s_k^\top (y_k - B_k s_k)| \neq 0$ .

The above choice of  $\sigma_k$  and  $\delta$  are the only possible way of satisfying the secant equation with a symmetric rank-1 update. This gives the SR1 update rule for  $B_{k+1}$ :

(SR1) 
$$B_{k+1} = B_k + \frac{(y_k - B_k s_k) (y_k - B_k s_k)^\top}{s_k^\top (y_k - B_k s_k)}$$

By Sherman-Morrison formula, we also have the update rule for  $H_{k+1} = B_{k+1}^{-1}$ :

(SR1) 
$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{(s_k - H_k y_k) (s_k - H_k y_k)^{\top}}{y_k^{\top} (s_k - H_k y_k)}$$

SR1 is very simple. However, even if  $B_k$  is p.d.,  $B_{k+1}$  may not be. The same holds for  $H_k$  and  $H_{k+1}$ . Therefore, SR1 is in general not used with the update  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k B_k^{-1} \nabla f(x_k)$ , as it need not give a descent direction. However, this  $B_k$  is quite useful in Trust-Region methods, which we will discuss later. The lack of positive definiteness may actually make  $B_k$  a better approximation to the true Hessian  $\nabla^2 f(x_k)$  (which may be indefinite), compared to  $B_k$  generated by DFP/BFGS.

Another major issue of SR1: the numbers  $s_k^{\top}(y_k - B_k s_k)$  and  $y_k^{\top}(s_k - H_k y_k)$ , which appear in the denominators of the update rules, may be zero (or very small). In this case, there is no symmetric rank-1 update that satisfies the secant equation. This may happen even when *f* is a convex quadratic.

Let us zoom in the above issue. Based on our derivation of SR1, there are three cases:

- 1. If  $s_k^{\top}(y_k B_k s_k) \neq 0$ , then  $B_{k+1}$  is uniquely defined by the SR1 update rule above.
- 2. If  $y_k = B_k s_k$ , then by (7) the only way to satisfy the secant equation is with  $B_{k+1} = B_k$ .
- 3. If  $y_k \neq B_k s_k$  and  $s_k^{\top} (y_k B_k s_k) = 0$ , then there is no symmetric rank-1 update that satisfies the secant equation.

Due to the case 3, SR1 is numerically unstable. To have all the required properties of  $B_k$ ,  $H_k$ , rank-2 updates (as in DFP/BFGS) are necessary.

Nevertheless, SR1 is still used, because:

- 1. there exists a simple safeguard that prevents numerical instability (see below);
- 2. there exist some setups (e.g., constrained optimization) where it is not possible to impose the curvature condition  $y_k^{\top} s_k > 0$ , which is necessary for DFP/BFGS, but not needed in SR1.

Safeguard for SR1: Apply SR1 update only if

$$\left| s_{k}^{\top} (y_{k} - B_{k} s_{k}) \right| \geq r \left\| s_{k} \right\| \left\| y_{k} - B_{k} s_{k} \right\|, \tag{8}$$

where *r* is some small constant (e.g.,  $10^{-8}$ ). Otherwise, set  $B_{k+1} = B_k$  (i.e., skip the update). Note that the skipping happens when  $B_k$  is already a good approximation of the true Hessian along the direction  $s_k$ .

#### Hessian approximation properties of SR1:

- (NW Theorem 6.1) For strongly convex quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Ax + b^{\top}x$ , if  $s_k^{\top}(y_k B_k s_k) \neq 0$  for all k, then SR1 iterates converges to the minimizer  $x^*$  in at most d step. Moreover, if its search directions  $p_k = -B_k^{-1}\nabla f(x_k)$  are linearly independent, then  $H_d = A^{-1}$ .
- (NW Theorem 6.2) For general f with Lipschitz continuous Hessian, if  $x_k \to x^*$ , (8) holds for all k, and the steps  $\{s_k\}$  uniformly linearly independent, then  $B_k \to \nabla^2 f(x^*)$ .

(Optional) Go through the proof of Theorem 6.1.