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## 1 Random Processes

Definition 1 (Random Process). A random process $\left(Z_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in T}$ refers to a collection of random variables in the same probability space indexed by $\theta \in T$.

Remark Stochastic processes is one example of random processes, where the index $\theta$ refers to time. For random processes, the index set $T$ can be more general, .e.g., multi-dimensional.

## Examples

Here, we give some examples of random processes with $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$. The first three examples involve $T \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

1. Rademacher Process: $Z_{\theta}=\langle\varepsilon, \theta\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \varepsilon_{i} \theta_{i}, \quad \varepsilon_{i} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim}$ unif $\{ \pm 1\}$.
2. Gaussian Process: $\forall T_{0} \subset T$ with $\left|T_{0}\right|<\infty,\left(Z_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in T_{0}}$ is jointly Gaussian.
3. Canonical Gaussian Process: $Z_{\theta}=\langle g, \theta\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{d} g_{i} \theta_{i}, \quad g_{i} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} N(0,1)$.

In the next example, $T=\mathcal{F}$ is a class of functions $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
4. Empirical Process: $Z_{f}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(X_{i}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(f\left(X_{1}\right)\right), \quad\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ iid random variables.

## 2 Sub-Gaussian Increments

We are interested in developing an upper/lower bound of $\mathbb{E}\left(\sup _{\theta \in T} Z_{\theta}\right)$ using the covering number/metric entropy of $T$. To obtain a good bound, we need some assumptions on the structure of random processes. Therefore, we introduce the concept of sub-Gaussian increments.

Definition 2 (Sub-Gaussian Increments). $\left(Z_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in T}$ has sub-Gaussian increments w.r.t. metric $\rho$ on $T$, if

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\left(Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)}\right] \leq e^{\lambda^{2} \rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)^{2} / 2}, \quad \forall \theta, \theta^{\prime} \in T, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

i.e., $Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}$.

## Examples

Following are some examples of random processes with sub-Gaussian increments:

1. Rademacher Process: $Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}=\left\langle\varepsilon, \theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is $\left\|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}$-sub-Gaussian. $\Rightarrow\left(Z_{\theta}\right)$ has sub-Gaussian increments w.r.t. $\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)=\left\|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$.
2. Gaussian Process: $Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}} \sim N\left(0, \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\right)$.
$\Rightarrow\left(Z_{\theta}\right)$ has sub-Gaussian increments w.r.t. $\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right) \triangleq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}$.
3. Canonical Gaussian Process: $Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}=\left\langle g, \theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\rangle \sim N\left(0,\left\|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)$ $\Rightarrow\left(Z_{\theta}\right)$ has sub-Gaussian increments w.r.t. $\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)=\left\|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2}$.

## 3 Sudakov's Lower Bound

Recall:

- $N(\varepsilon, T, \rho)$ is the covering number of $T$ w.r.t. $\rho$.
- $\log (N(\varepsilon, T, \rho))$ is the metric entropy of $T$ w.r.t. $\rho$.

We will introduce Sudakov's minorization inequality shortly. To prove Sudakov's, we will need one definition and two lemmas. Therefore, we present the definition and two lemmas first.

Definition 3 (Packing Number). $T_{\varepsilon} \subset T$ is called an $\varepsilon$-packing of $T$ if $\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)>\varepsilon, \forall \theta, \theta^{\prime} \in T_{\varepsilon}$. The largest cardinality of $\varepsilon$-packing is called the packing number of $T$, denote as $M(\varepsilon, T, \rho)$.

Lemma 1. $\forall \varepsilon>0, M(2 \varepsilon, T, \rho) \leq N(\varepsilon, T, \rho) \leq M(\varepsilon, T, \rho)$.
Proof The proof is left as an exercise. (See R. Vershynin, Exercise 7.4.2).

Lemma 2. Let $X_{i} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Then $\mathbb{E}\left[\max _{i=1, \ldots, N} X_{i}\right] \gtrsim \sigma \sqrt{\log N}$.
Remark Note that the expectation is taken over a finite collection of Gaussian variables.
Proof The proof of this lemma is again left as an exercise.
Hint: utilize Markov Inequality and then directly calculate $\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{i} X_{i} \geq \sigma \sqrt{\log N}\right)$. You could refer to this Gautam Kamath's writing for a similar calculation ${ }^{17}$

Theorem 1 (Sudakov's Minorization Inequality). Let $\left(Z_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in T}$ be a zero-mean Gaussian process. Then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in T} Z_{\theta}\right] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sqrt{\log N(\varepsilon, T, \rho)}, \quad \forall \varepsilon \geq 0
$$

where the metric is $\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right) \triangleq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left(Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}$
Remark Minorization means finding the lower bound, while majorization means upper.
Proof Let $T_{\varepsilon}$ be an maximal $\varepsilon$-packing of $T$, with $\left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|=M(\varepsilon, T, \rho) \geq N(\varepsilon, T, \rho)$ by Lemma 1. Then,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in T} Z_{\theta}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in T_{\varepsilon}} Z_{\theta}\right]
$$

Next, we compare $\left(Z_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in T_{\varepsilon}}$ with another process $\left(Y_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in T_{\varepsilon}}$, where $Y_{\theta} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} N\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\right), \theta \in T_{\varepsilon}$. Check that,

$$
\forall \theta, \theta^{\prime} \in T_{\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left(Z_{\theta}-Z_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{2}=\rho\left(\theta, \theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}>\varepsilon^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{\theta}-Y_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
$$

The first equality in the above equation holds by the definition of metric $\rho$, the second inequality holds by the property of packing, and the third equality holds by the definition of $\left(Y_{\theta}\right)$.

By Sudakov-Fernique Comparison Theorem (Recall: Lecture7-Random Matrix I), we arrive at

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in T_{\varepsilon}} Z_{\theta}\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in T \varepsilon} Y_{\theta}\right] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\log \left|T_{\varepsilon}\right|} \gtrsim \varepsilon \sqrt{\log N(\varepsilon, T, \rho)}
$$

The second inequality holds by Lemma 2. As such, we have proven Sudakov's Minorization Inequality.
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## 4 Applications of Sudakov's Minorization Inequality

## Application 1. Gaussian Complexity of Unit $\ell_{2}$ Ball $\mathbb{B}^{d}$

Here, we would like to bound $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{B}^{d}}\langle\theta, g\rangle\right]$, with $g_{i} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} N(0,1)$. It is easy to obtain an upper bound:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{B}^{d}}\langle\theta, g\rangle\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\|g\|_{2} \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\|g\|_{2}^{2}}=\sqrt{d}
$$

The first inequality holds due to Cauchy-Schwarz, and the second inequality holds by Jensen's Inequality.
By Sudakov's minorization, we could obtain the following lower bound,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{\theta \in \mathbb{B}^{d}}\langle\theta, g\rangle\right] \gtrsim \varepsilon \sqrt{\log N\left(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{d},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)} \geq \varepsilon \sqrt{\log \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{d}} \gtrsim \sqrt{d}
$$

The first inequality holds by Sudakov's minorization, while the second holds by the property of covering number (recall Lecture 8 Lemma 1 Remark). The third inequality holds when we take $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{e}$.

Therefore, we can conclude that the upper bound is tight up to a constant.

## Application 2. Lower Bound on Max Singular Value

For $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, with $X_{i j} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} N(0,1)$, we have $\forall \varepsilon>0$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{o p}=\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u, v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left\langle X, u v^{T}\right\rangle\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{u, v \in \mathbb{B}^{n}}\left\langle X, u v^{T}\right\rangle\right] \gtrsim \varepsilon \sqrt{\log N\left(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{n},\left\|u v^{T}-\tilde{u} \tilde{v}^{T}\right\|_{F}\right)} .
$$

To lower bound the last right hand side, we use the inequality from Lemma 1

$$
N\left(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{n},\left\|u v^{T}-\tilde{u} \tilde{v}^{T}\right\|_{F}\right) \geq M\left(2 \varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{n},\left\|u v^{T}-\tilde{u} \tilde{v}^{T}\right\|_{F}\right)
$$

and then find a lower bound for the packing number. Consider a maximal packing set, $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$, for $\mathbb{B}^{n}$, i.e., $M\left(2 \varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)=m$. By the definition of a packing set, we know that $\left\|u_{i}-u_{j}\right\|_{2}>2 \varepsilon$ for all $i \neq j \in[m]$. Next, fix an arbitrary point $v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{B}^{n}$. It is clear that $S:=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v\right)\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, m} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{n}$. Furthermore, we claim that the set $S$ is a $2 \varepsilon$-packing for $\mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{n}$ under the metric $\left\|u v^{T}-\tilde{u} \tilde{v}^{T}\right\|_{F}$. Indeed, for each pair $i \neq j \in[m]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{i} v^{\top}-u_{j} v^{\top}\right\|_{F} & =\left\|\left(u_{i}-u_{j}\right) v^{\top}\right\|_{F} \\
& =\left\|u_{i}-u_{j}\right\|_{2} \cdot\|v\|_{2} \\
& =\left\|u_{i}-u_{j}\right\|_{2}>2 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
M\left(2 \varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{n},\left\|u v^{T}-\tilde{u} \tilde{v}^{T}\right\|_{F}\right) \geq|S|=m=M\left(2 \varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)
$$

Finally, by Lemma 1 we have

$$
M\left(2 \varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right) \geq N\left(2 \varepsilon, \mathbb{B}^{n},\|\cdot\|\right) \gtrsim\left(\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\right)^{n}
$$

where the last inequality was used in Application 1 above.
Combining pieces, we obtain that for any $\varepsilon>0$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{o p} \gtrsim \varepsilon \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{(2 \varepsilon)^{n}}}=\varepsilon \sqrt{n \log \frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}} \gtrsim \sqrt{n}
$$

where the last step holds by choosing a suitable value for $\varepsilon$, e.g., $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{2 e}$.

Compare the above lower bound with the upper bound we derived in Lecture 7:

$$
\mathbb{E}\|X\|_{o p} \leq 2 \sqrt{n}
$$

We see that the two bounds match up to a constant.
Sudakov's minorization can also be used in reverse to upper bound the covering number and metric entropy, which will be covered in the next lecture.
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