

# CS540 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

## Lecture 21

Young Wu

Based on lecture slides by Jerry Zhu, Yingyu Liang, and Charles Dyer

July 27, 2023

# Summary

## Discussion

- Adversarial Search:
  - ① Sequential Move Games: Minimax  $\rightarrow$  DFS on the game tree.
  - ② Sequential Move Games: Alpha-Beta Pruning  $\rightarrow$  DFS to keep track  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \rightarrow$  prune the subtree with  $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ .
  - ③ Simultaneous Move Games: Iterated Elimination of Strictly Dominated Strategies (Rationalizability).
  - ④ Simultaneous Move Games: Nash Equilibrium.

# Rationalizability

## Motivation

- An action is 1-rationalizable if it is the best response to some action.
- An action is 2-rationalizable if it is the best response to some 1-rationalizable action.
- An action is 3-rationalizable if it is the best response to some 2-rationalizable action.
- An action is rationalizable if it is  $\infty$ -rationalizable.

# Best Response

## Definition

- An action is a best response if it is optimal for the player given the opponents' actions.

$$br_{MAX}(s_{MIN}) = \operatorname{argmax}_{s \in S_{MAX}} c(s, s_{MIN})$$

$$br_{MIN}(s_{MAX}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in S_{MIN}} c(s_{MAX}, s)$$

# Strictly Dominated and Dominant Strategy

## Definition

- An action  $s_i$  strictly dominates another  $s_{i'}$  if it leads to a better state no matter what the opponents' actions are.

$$s_i \succ_{MAX} s_{i'} \text{ if } c(s_i, s) > c(s_{i'}, s) \forall s \in S_{MIN}$$

$$s_i \succ_{MIN} s_{i'} \text{ if } c(s, s_i) < c(s, s_{i'}) \forall s \in S_{MAX}$$

- The action  $s_{i'}$  is called strictly dominated.
- An action that strictly dominates all other actions is called strictly dominant.

# Weakly Dominated and Dominant Strategy

## Definition

- An action  $s_i$  weakly dominates another  $s_{i'}$  if it leads to a better state or a state with the same payoff no matter what the opponents' actions are.

$$s_i \succ_{MAX} s_{i'} \text{ if } c(s_i, s) \geq c(s_{i'}, s) \forall s \in S_{MIN}$$

$$s_i \succ_{MIN} s_{i'} \text{ if } c(s, s_i) \leq c(s, s_{i'}) \forall s \in S_{MAX}$$

- The action  $s_{i'}$  is called weakly dominated.

# Nash Equilibrium

## Definition

- A Nash equilibrium is a state in which all actions are best responses.

# Prisoner's Dilemma

## Discussion

- A simultaneous move, non-zero-sum, and symmetric game is a prisoner's dilemma game if the Nash equilibrium state is strictly worse for both players than another state.

–	$C$	$D$
$C$	$(x, x)$	$(0, y)$
$D$	$(y, 0)$	$(1, 1)$

- $C$  stands for Cooperate and  $D$  stands for Defect (not Confess and Deny). Both players are MAX players. The game is PD if  $y > x > 1$ . Here,  $(D, D)$  is the only Nash equilibrium and  $(C, C)$  is strictly better than  $(D, D)$  for both players.



# Properties of Nash Equilibrium

## Discussion

- All Nash equilibria are rationalizable.
- No Nash equilibrium contains a strictly dominated action.
- Rationalizable actions (the set of Nash equilibria is a subset of this) can be found by iterated elimination of strictly dominated actions.
- The above statements are not true for weakly dominated actions.

# Normal Form of Sequential Games

## Discussion

- Sequential games can have normal form too, but the solution concept is different.
- Nash equilibria of the normal form may not be a solution of the original sequential form game.

# Fixed Point Algorithm

## Description

- For small games, it is possible to find all the best responses. The states that are best responses for all players are the solutions of the game.
- For large games, start with a random action, find the best response for each player and update until the state is not changing.

# Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

## Definition

- A mixed strategy is a strategy in which a player randomizes between multiple actions.
- A pure strategy is a strategy in which all actions are played with probabilities either 0 or 1.
- A mixed strategy Nash equilibrium is a Nash equilibrium for the game in which mixed strategies are allowed.

# Rock Paper Scissors Example

## Discussion

- There are no pure strategy Nash equilibria.
- Playing each action (rock, paper, scissors) with equal probability is a mixed strategy Nash.

# Nash Theorem

## Definition

- Every finite game has a Nash equilibrium.
- The Nash equilibria are fixed points of the best response functions.

# Fixed Point Nash Equilibrium

## Algorithm

- Input: the payoff table  $c(s_i, s_j)$  for  $s_i \in S_{MAX}, s_j \in S_{MIN}$ .
- Output: the Nash equilibria.
- Start with random state  $s = (s_{MAX}, s_{MIN})$ .
- Update the state by computing the best response of one of the players.
  - either  $s' = (br_{MAX}(s_{MIN}), br_{MIN}(br_{MAX}(s_{MIN})))$
  - or  $s' = (br_{MAX}(br_{MIN}(s_{MAX})), br_{MIN}(s_{MAX}))$
- Stop when  $s' = s$ .

# Summary

## Discussion

- Adversarial Search:
  - ① Sequential Move Games: Minimax  $\rightarrow$  DFS on the game tree.
  - ② Sequential Move Games: Alpha-Beta Pruning  $\rightarrow$  DFS to keep track  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \rightarrow$  prune the subtree with  $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ .
  - ③ Simultaneous Move Games: Iterated Elimination of Strictly Dominated Strategies (Rationalizability)  $\rightarrow$  Remove dominated actions for each player  $\rightarrow$  Repeat.
  - ④ Simultaneous Move Games: Nash Equilibrium  $\rightarrow$  Compute the best response  $\rightarrow$  Find strategies (pure or mixed) that are mutual best responses.