Young Wu

Based on lecture slides by Jerry Zhu, Yingyu Liang, and Charles Dyer

August 9, 2022

### Rationalizability Motivation

- An action is 1-rationalizable if it is the best response to some action.
- An action is 2-rationalizable if it is the best response to some 1-rationalizable action.
- An action is 3-rationalizable if it is the best response to some 2-rationalizable action.
- An action is rationalizable if it is ∞-rationalizable.

### Normal Form Games

- In a simultaneous move game, a state represents one action from each player.
- The costs or rewards, sometimes called payoffs, are written in a payoff table.
- The players are usually called the ROW player and the COLUMN player.
- If the game is zero-sum, the convention is: ROW player is MAX and COLUMN player is MIN.

### Best Response

Definition

 An action is a best response if it is optimal for the player given the opponents' actions.

$$br_{MAX}\left(s_{MIN}\right) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{s \in S_{MAX}} c\left(s, s_{MIN}\right)$$
  
 $br_{MIN}\left(s_{MAX}\right) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{s \in S_{MIN}} c\left(s_{MAX}, s\right)$ 

### Strictly Dominated and Dominant Strategy Definition

• An action  $s_i$  strictly dominates another  $s_{i'}$  if it leads to a better state no matter what the opponents' actions are.

$$s_i >_{MAX} s_{i'}$$
 if  $c(s_i, s) > c(s_{i'}, s) \forall s \in S_{MIN}$   
 $s_i >_{MIN} s_{i'}$  if  $c(s, s_i) < c(s, s_{i'}) \forall s \in S_{MAX}$ 

- The action  $s_{i'}$  is called strictly dominated.
- An action that strictly dominates all other actions is called strictly dominant.

### Weakly Dominated and Dominant Strategy

• An action  $s_i$  weakly dominates another  $s_{i'}$  if it leads to a better state or a state with the same payoff no matter what the opponents' actions are.

$$s_i >_{MAX} s_{i'}$$
 if  $c(s_i, s) \ge c(s_{i'}, s) \ \forall \ s \in S_{MIN}$   
 $s_i >_{MIN} s_{i'}$  if  $c(s, s_i) \le c(s, s_{i'}) \ \forall \ s \in S_{MAX}$ 

• The action  $s_{i'}$  is called weakly dominated.

### Nash Equilibrium Definition

 A Nash equilibrium is a state in which all actions are best responses.

#### Prisoner's Dilemma

#### Discussion

 A simultaneous move, non-zero-sum, and symmetric game is a prisoner's dilemma game if the Nash equilibrium state is strictly worse for both players than another state.

_	С	D
С	(x,x)	(0,y)
D	(y, 0)	(1,1)

• C stands for Cooperate and D stands for Defect (not Confess and Deny). Both players are MAX players. The game is PD if y > x > 1. Here, (D, D) is the only Nash equilibrium and (C, C) is strictly better than (D, D) for both players.

### Properties of Nash Equilibrium

Discussion

- All Nash equilibria are rationalizable.
- No Nash equilibrium contains a strictly dominated action.
- Rationalizable actions (the set of Nash equilibria is a subset of this) can be found be iterated elimination of strictly dominated actions.
- The above statements are not true for weakly dominated actions.

### Normal Form of Sequential Games

- Sequential games can have normal form too, but the solution concept is different.
- Nash equilibria of the normal form may not be a solution of the original sequential form game.

## Fixed Point Algorithm Description

- For small games, it is possible to find all the best responses.
   The states that are best responses for all players are the solutions of the game.
- For large games, start with a random action, find the best response for each player and update until the state is not changing.

# Fixed Point Diagram Definition

### Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

- A mixed strategy is a strategy in which a player randomizes between multiple actions.
- A pure strategy is a strategy in which all actions are played with probabilities either 0 or 1.
- A mixed strategy Nash equilibrium is a Nash equilibrium for the game in which mixed strategies are allowed.

### Nash Theorem Definition

- Every finite game has a Nash equilibrium.
- The Nash equilibria are fixed points of the best response functions.

# Fixed Point Nash Equilibrium Algorithm

- Input: the payoff table  $c\left(s_{i},s_{j}\right)$  for  $s_{i}\in S_{MAX},s_{j}\in S_{MIN}$ .
- Output: the Nash equilibria.
- Start with random state  $s = (s_{MAX}, s_{MIN})$ .
- Update the state by computing the best response of one of the players.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{either } s' &= \left(br_{MAX}\left(s_{MIN}\right), br_{MIN}\left(br_{MAX}\left(s_{MIN}\right)\right)\right) \\ \text{or } s' &= \left(br_{MAX}\left(br_{MIN}\left(s_{MAX}\right)\right), br_{MIN}\left(s_{MAX}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

• Stop when s' = s.